



16<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies **GHGT-16**

23-27<sup>th</sup> October 2022, Lyon, France

Facilitating the deployment of CO<sub>2</sub> storage by exploiting synergies with geothermal energy

Thomas Le Guéan<sup>a\*</sup>, Annick Loschetter<sup>a</sup>, Frédéric Mathurin<sup>a</sup>, Fanny Branchu<sup>a</sup>,  
Christophe Kervevan<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>BRGM, 3, avenue C. Guillemin, BP 36009, 45060, Orléans Cedex 2 - France

---

## Abstract

Geological CO<sub>2</sub> storage should play a crucial part in the net zero emissions by 2050 target, as suggested by recent reports from the IEA or the IPCC. It contributes first by decarbonising high-emission sector by storing CO<sub>2</sub> emitted during energy and industrial production. It is also a key aspect for achieving technological CDR (Carbon Dioxide Removal). Methods such as BECCS (BioEnergy with Carbon Capture and Storage) and DACCS (Direct Air Capture with Carbon Storage) rely on geological storage. Yet, the deployment of full-scale storage projects to date has not reached an adequate pace of change in order to contribute significantly to reach the net zero objective. Many factors can explain this observation: difficulty of a reliable business case (economic barrier), lack of political support and awareness (political barrier), concerns over public opposition (societal barrier), knowledge of favourable subsurface conditions (geological barriers), etc.

In some ways, CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR, which is a synergy between CO<sub>2</sub> storage and hydrocarbon production, has played a role in helping the deployment of CO<sub>2</sub> storage, by providing business case and demonstrating the viability for parts of the CCUS chain. However, CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR also often encounter negative appreciation for its direct connection to hydrocarbon production, and contribution to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

In this paper, we focus on the synergy between CO<sub>2</sub> storage and geothermal energy. Several authors have proposed such synergies. Tillner et al. (2013) envisage the coexistence of CO<sub>2</sub> injection and a geothermal doublet. Buscheck et al. (2016, 2017) propose to exploit the thermal (and physical) energy of brine produced when injecting CO<sub>2</sub>. Kervéan et al. (2017) propose to dissolve CO<sub>2</sub> in the geothermal brine and to store the resulting fluid in saline aquifer by using a geothermal doublet. Pure CO<sub>2</sub> has also been proposed as a geothermal working fluid instead of water, notably due to favourable thermodynamic properties. CO<sub>2</sub> based geothermal systems encompass two concepts: i. CO<sub>2</sub>-EGS (Enhanced or Engineered Geothermal Systems) first proposed by Brown (2000); ii. CO<sub>2</sub> Plume Geothermal (CPG) in hydrothermal reservoirs introduced by Randolph and Saar (2011).

This study aims to propose a structured approach to evaluate to which extent a combination of CO<sub>2</sub> storage and geothermal energy would facilitate the deployment of CO<sub>2</sub> storage. It will compare the global performance of the various options for combining CO<sub>2</sub> storage and geothermal energy with the expected performance of a conventional CO<sub>2</sub> storage project in saline aquifer.

The proposed approach is based on BRGM's new method for performance assessment of subsurface uses. The method defines "performance" as any required conditions that would contribute to meet the objectives of the project. For a CO<sub>2</sub> storage project, performance is primarily measured in terms of the quantity of CO<sub>2</sub> stored in the targeted reservoir

---

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +33-2-38-64-38-53, E-mail address: t.leguean@brgm.fr

over time, while respecting a list of constraints: no significant risk of harm to people or the environment (safety), an overall positive balance sheet (economic), as well as any additional criteria important to stakeholders.

The method relies on the quantification and comparison of Key Performance Indicators (KPI) for both conventional CO<sub>2</sub> storage project and all the above-mentioned combinations of CO<sub>2</sub> storage and geothermal energy. Such assessment will be based on synthetic but realistic models, applied on saline aquifer of the Paris Basin (France), considering at least three sets of KPIs, including:

- technical indicators related to the reservoir performance (e.g. amount of CO<sub>2</sub> stored over the lifetime of the storage site)
- environmental and safety indicators (e.g. risk of leakage and induced seismicity)
- economic indicators (e.g. Net Present Value, levelized cost of storage)

A state-of-the-art reviewing a list of factors addressing the main (economic, political, societal, technical and geological) barriers will help to construct complementary sets of KPIs. We will study how these KPIs may influence the legitimacy, credibility and governance of projects and potential consequences on the territorial integration of projects (de Sartre and Chailleux, 2021).

The main outcomes will be (i) the assessment of the conditions for which synergies between CO<sub>2</sub> storage and geothermal energy are desirable and (ii) the completion of a robust method compatible for assessing the global performance of synergies between various subsurface uses.

Thanks to the proposed development, we will be able to draw objective comparison between concepts for a given context and to answer the question: “which of these solutions is more desirable from different stakeholders’ point of view”? The proposed method will be replicable for other contexts and more generally for other hybrid underground uses.

(728 words)

*Keywords:* CO<sub>2</sub> storage, geothermal energy, performance, synergies, barriers

---

## References:

- Brown DW. A hot dry rock geothermal energy concept utilizing supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> instead of water. Proceedings of the twenty-fifth workshop on geothermal reservoir engineering. Stanford University; 2000. p. 233–8.
- Buscheck, T. A., Bielicki, J. M., Edmunds, T. A., Hao, Y., Sun, Y., Randolph, J. B., & Saar, M. O. (2016). Multifluid geo-energy systems: Using geologic CO<sub>2</sub> storage for geothermal energy production and grid-scale energy storage in sedimentary basins. *Geosphere*, 12(3), 678-696.
- Buscheck, T. A., Bielicki, J. M., & Randolph, J. B. (2017). CO<sub>2</sub> earth storage: enhanced geothermal energy and water recovery and energy storage. *Energy Procedia*, 114, 6870-6879.
- de Sartre, X. A., & Chailleux, S. (2021). Introduction. L’incomplète mise en politique du sous-sol français. *Natures Sciences Sociétés*, (Supp. 5), 2-11.
- Kervéan, C., Beddelem, M. H., Galiègue, X., Le Gallo, Y., May, F., O’Neil, K., & Sterpenich, J. (2017). Main results of the CO<sub>2</sub>-DISSOLVED project: first step toward a future industrial pilot combining geological storage of dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> and geothermal heat recovery. *Energy Procedia*, 114, 4086-4098.
- Miranda-Barbosa, E., Sigfússon, B., Carlsson, J., & Tzimas, E. (2017). Advantages from combining CCS with geothermal energy. *Energy Procedia*, 114, 6666-6676.
- Randolph JB, Saar MO. Combining geothermal energy capture with geologic carbon dioxide sequestration. *Geophys Res Lett* 2011;38.
- Tillner, E., Kempka, T., Nakaten, B., & Kühn, M. (2013). Geological CO<sub>2</sub> storage supports geothermal energy

exploitation: 3D numerical models emphasize feasibility of synergetic use. *Energy Procedia*, 37, 6604-6616.