



Evidence of Multiple Sorption Modes in Layered Double Hydroxides Using Mo As Structural Probe

Bin Ma, Alejandro Fernandez-Martinez, Sylvain Grangeon, Christophe Tournassat, Nathaniel Findling, Francis Claret, Ayumi Koishi, Nicolas C.M. Marty, Delphine Tisserand, Sarah Bureau, et al.

► To cite this version:

Bin Ma, Alejandro Fernandez-Martinez, Sylvain Grangeon, Christophe Tournassat, Nathaniel Findling, et al.. Evidence of Multiple Sorption Modes in Layered Double Hydroxides Using Mo As Structural Probe. Environmental Science and Technology, 2017, 51 (10), pp.5531 - 5540. 10.1021/acs.est.7b00946 . hal-01849363

HAL Id: hal-01849363

<https://brgm.hal.science/hal-01849363>

Submitted on 28 Jun 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Supporting Information

Evidence of multiple sorption modes in layered double hydroxides using Mo as structural probe

Bin Ma^{*,a}, Alejandro Fernandez-Martinez^a, Sylvain Grangeon^b, Christophe Tournassat^b,
Nathaniel Findling^a, Francis Claret^b, Ayumi Koishi^a, Nicolas C. M. Marty^b, Delphine
Tisserand^a, Sarah Bureau^a, Eduardo Salas-Colera^{c,d}, Erik Elkaïm^e, Carlo Marini^f, Laurent
Charlet^a

^a Université Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, ISTERre, F-38041 Grenoble, France.

^b BRGM, 3 Avenue Guillemin, Orléans Cedex 2, 45060, France.

^c Instituto de Ciencia de Materiales de Madrid, CSIC, Sor Juana Inés de la Cruz 3, 28049, Cantoblanco
Madrid, Spain.

^d Spanish CRG BM25 SpLine Beamline at the ESRF, 71 Avenue de Martyrs, F-38043 Grenoble,
France.

^e Synchrotron SOLEIL, l'Orme des Merisiers Saint-Aubin, 91192 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex,
France

^f CELLS-ALBA, Carretera B.P. 1413, Cerdanyola del Vallès, 08290 Barcelona, Spain

The Supporting Information contains 21 pages, 2 texts, 7 tables and 13 figures.

20 **Text S1.** Characterization of Synthesized CaAl LDHs.

21 Freshly synthesized Cl^- and SO_4^{2-} CaAl LDHs have well-crystallized hexagonal-shaped plate
22 morphology (Figure S2) but different average sizes: around $\text{Ø}0.5\ \mu\text{m}$ for AFm- Cl_2 and $\text{Ø}5\ \mu\text{m}$
23 AFm- SO_4 , respectively. The specific surface areas and the average edge lengths thus differ
24 (Table S6), with lateral surface (S_l) area concentrations equal for AFm- Cl_2 and AFm- SO_4 to
25 2.19×10^{18} and $2.29 \times 10^{17}\ \text{nm}^2\ \text{g}^{-1}$, respectively, as obtained from¹:

26
$$S_l = \frac{P}{S \times \rho} \quad (1)$$

27 where P , S , and ρ represent CaAl LDHs particle's perimeter (nm), basal plane surface area
28 (nm^2), and density ($\text{g}\ \text{nm}^{-3}$), respectively.

29 X-ray diffraction (XRD) was used to determine the nature and amount of eventual impurities
30 and the inter-layer distances of the synthesized CaAl LDHs (Figure S1), including AFm- SO_4 ,
31 AFm- Cl_2 , and AFm- CO_3 . Due to the sulfate-deficiency during the synthesis, no ettringite was
32 formed in the fresh AFm- SO_4 , but katoite [$\text{Ca}_3\text{Al}_2(\text{OH})_{12}$] was observed as an impurity. AFm-
33 SO_4 and AFm- Cl_2 powders were also characterized after 7 days in equilibrium with N_2 -
34 saturated water at pH 12.4. The (003) inter-layer distance of AFm- SO_4 , was initially
35 $8.128 \pm 0.006\ \text{\AA}$ at 5% relative humidity (RH) corresponding to 10.5 H_2O hydration state², and
36 it changed to a shorter distance of $7.884 \pm 0.006\ \text{\AA}$ with time. The lack of SO_4^{2-} is compensated
37 with OH^- in the inter-layer space, resulting in the formation of AFm-OH with 13 H_2O
38 molecules². Besides, a tiny diffraction peak occurred at 11.65° after equilibration of AFm- SO_4
39 in water, which is probably derived from gypsum formed during sample drying. A strict N_2
40 protection gives us confidence to exclude formation of AFm- CO_3 (i.e., CO_2 contamination) in
41 both reacted AFm- SO_4 and AFm- Cl_2 . No detectable impurity is observed with the AFm- Cl_2
42 phase, characterized by an inter-layer distance of $7.838 \pm 0.006\ \text{\AA}$.

Atomic ratios between each element in CaAl LDHs were estimated by digesting 100 mg CaAl LDHs solid phase in a certain amount of 1% HNO₃, and by measuring total aqueous Ca, Al, and S concentrations using inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES). This nitrolysis method was applied for each CaAl LDH in duplicate. Katoite impurity in AFm-SO₄ was inferred from the Ca/Al stoichiometry. Structural water content was determined by thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) and derivative thermogravimetric analysis (DTG) curves (Figure S9) and dehydration and dehydroxylation were included in the total water loss till 700 °C³, at which temperature the water loss is assumed to be completed. Based on the calculation, 78 at.% AFm-SO₄ and 22 at.% katoite composed the product for AFm-SO₄ synthesis. The experimental chemical formula of AFm-SO₄ and AFm-Cl₂ were Ca₄Al₂O₆(SO₄)_{0.92}(OH)_{0.08}·6.4H₂O and Ca₄Al₂O₆Cl_{1.64}(OH)_{0.36}·7.4H₂O, respectively.

Text S2. Instability of CaAl LDHs in presence of Mo.

The released Cl⁻ is more than the stoichiometric amount that may be derived from the corrosion of double-layer structure. This is confirmed by the newly appearance of a katoite diffraction peak for Mo-reacted samples in the synchrotron based XRD patterns since sample CIA (Figure S8), in agreement with saturation index (SI) computed from the aqueous phase composition after reaction of both CaAl LDHs with molybdate for 48 h (Figure S10). Molybdate could also affect heavily the metastability of AFm-SO₄ and result in ettringite formation (Figure S8). Compared to the dissolution products for 7 days (Figure S1), phases transformation seems to be promoted under the effect of molybdate. Indeed, the pair distribution function (PDF) analysis of high energy XRD data conducted on six selected sorption products, and compared to the calculated PDF patterns of katoite, ettringite, and powellite, shows almost no apparent phase transformation, except the formation of katoite and ettringite in Mo-reacted AFm-Cl₂ and AFm-SO₄, respectively (Figure S11 and Figure S12).




Figure S1. X-ray diffraction patterns of fresh CaAl LDHs and the phases after dissolution.

The dissolution equilibrium was set at pH ~12.4 for 7 days and samples were mounted oriented at relative humidity of 5%. Cu K α radiation at 1.5406 Å is used.





Figure S2. FE-SEM micrographs of the synthesized CaAl LDHs. Scale bar, 5 μ m. (a) Pristine AFm-SO₄ particles. (b) Pristine AFm-Cl₂ particles.



76

77 **Figure S3.** Concentration variation profile of aqueous MoO_4^{2-} and Cl^- as a function of
78 molybdate loading on AFm- Cl_2 . The change in concentration of adsorbed MoO_4^{2-} is plotted
79 by the black solid dots and the released Cl^- is represented by the red hollow dots. The
80 theoretical $[\text{Cl}^-]_{\text{released}}$ is estimated by a stoichiometric anion exchange, shown as the blue
81 curve. The selected three adsorption products are marked by A, B, and C.




Figure S4. PHREEQC modelling results as a function of [Mo(VI)]_{aq} in equilibrium. (a) Dominated solid speciation in AFm-Cl₂ reactors. (b) Dominated solid speciation in AFm-SO₄ reactors. (c) Modelling results of aqueous Ca, Al, and Cl concentrations in AFm-Cl₂ reactors. (b) Modelling results of aqueous Ca, Al, and S concentrations in AFm-SO₄ reactors. Reactors, marked by A to F, correspond to the same ones in Figure 1.




Figure S5. In-situ time resolved XRD patterns of reacted AFm-Cl₂ at each loading step. The diffraction peak range of layer-to-layer distance (d_{002}), circled by red dotted lines, is enlarged in the main text to provide more details. The Cl₂/MoO₄ ratio at each step is shown following the sample name. The main phase of CIF_t is AFm-MoO₄, with no obvious signal of katoite and powellite observed.




Figure S6. In-situ time resolved XRD patterns of reacted AFm-SO₄ at each loading step. The diffraction peak range of layer-to-layer distance (d_{003}), circled by red dotted lines, is enlarged in the main text to provide more details. The SO₄/MoO₄ ratio at each step is shown following the sample name.




Figure S7. Calculated Mo K-edge XANES spectra of different Mo coordination. From the bottom up, the pre-edge intensity decreases steadily and the molybdate coordination environments are pure O.C. (octahedral Mo(VI)-katoite, both inner-incorporated and edge-incorporated), distorted O.C. (octahedral Mo(VI)-AFm phases, both the substitution and epitaxial growth), distorted T.C. (Ca-MoO₄ ion pair, both the substitution and epitaxial

growth for tetrahedral Mo(VI)-AFm phases), and pure T.C. (CaMoO_4 and Na_2MoO_4). O.C
and T.C. represent octahedral coordination and tetrahedral coordination, respectively.




Figure S8. Synchrotron based X-ray diffraction patterns of the selected adsorption products
for CaAl LDHs. Inter-layer peaks are located in the range of 2 - 4°.




Figure S9. Thermogravimetric analyses of the synthesized CaAl LDHs. (a) AFm-SO₄ phase. (b) AFm-Cl₂ phase. TGA is represented as the black curves and DTG in olive-green curves.





Figure S10. Saturation index of several possibly formed mineral phases as a function of $[\text{Mo(VI)}]_{\text{initial}}$. (a) For AFm-Cl₂ system. (b) For AFm-SO₄ system. Calculation is based on the aqueous data in batch sorption experiment on CaAl LDHs.



129

130 **Figure S11.** Comparison of calculated and experimental PDF of katoite, powellite, and AFm-
 131 Cl₂ samples in batch sorption experiment. The grey dotted line is the subtracted signal of ClC
 132 on fresh AFm-Cl₂.




Figure S12. Comparison of calculated and experimental PDF of katoite, powellite, ettringite and AFm-SO₄ samples in batch sorption experiment. The grey dotted line is the subtracted signal of SC on fresh AFm-SO₄.




Figure S13. Schematic representation of the meaning of LS and LEP in LDHs. LS and LEP represent lateral surface and lateral edge perimeter, respectively.

Table S1. Solution content of selected elements for AFm-Cl₂ experiments before introducing MoO₄²⁻. Concentrations were determined after reaching dissolution equilibrium, which was confirmed by dissolution kinetics^a.

ID	pH	[Ca] _{aq} /mol L ⁻¹	[Al] _{aq} /mol L ⁻¹	[Cl] _{aq} /mol L ⁻¹
CIA	12.37	6.23(6)×10 ⁻³	3.25(1)×10 ⁻³	4.49(21)×10 ⁻³
	nd	6.31(7)×10 ⁻³	3.25(2)×10 ⁻³	4.54(21)×10 ⁻³
	nd	6.43(7)×10 ⁻³	3.25(2)×10 ⁻³	4.59(22)×10 ⁻³
CIB	nd	6.24(7)×10 ⁻³	3.26(2)×10 ⁻³	4.64(21)×10 ⁻³
	nd	6.36(8)×10 ⁻³	3.22(2)×10 ⁻³	4.76(23)×10 ⁻³
	nd	6.31(7)×10 ⁻³	3.29(3)×10 ⁻³	4.63(21)×10 ⁻³
	nd	6.35(6)×10 ⁻³	3.29(3)×10 ⁻³	4.64(23)×10 ⁻³
	nd	6.26(7)×10 ⁻³	3.24(1)×10 ⁻³	4.58(22)×10 ⁻³
	nd	6.28(6)×10 ⁻³	3.27(2)×10 ⁻³	4.74(21)×10 ⁻³
	nd	6.28(6)×10 ⁻³	3.27(1)×10 ⁻³	4.79(23)×10 ⁻³
CIC	nd	6.28(6)×10 ⁻³	3.27(1)×10 ⁻³	4.79(23)×10 ⁻³

^a nd: not determined; Uncertainties from three times repeated analyses of identical samples are given by the number in brackets on the last digit(s).

175 **Table S2.** Solution content of selected elements for AFm-Cl₂ experiments after equilibrium
176 with MoO₄²⁻ for 48 h^a.

ID	pH	[Mo] _{aq} /mol L ⁻¹	<i>q</i> /mol g ⁻¹	[Ca] _{aq} /mol L ⁻¹	[Al] _{aq} /mol L ⁻¹	[Cl] _{aq} /mol L ⁻¹
CIA	12.37	1.42(17)×10 ⁻⁷	3.93(42)×10 ⁻⁷	6.30(10)×10 ⁻³	3.07(4)×10 ⁻³	4.55(21)×10 ⁻³
	nd	1.02(12)×10 ⁻⁷	9.57(51)×10 ⁻⁷	6.32(8)×10 ⁻³	3.00(2)×10 ⁻³	4.58(21)×10 ⁻³
	nd	1.89(18)×10 ⁻⁷	1.97(13)×10 ⁻⁶	6.19(7)×10 ⁻³	2.82(2)×10 ⁻³	4.73(22)×10 ⁻³
CIB	12.37	2.14(8)×10 ⁻⁷	2.47(16)×10 ⁻⁶	6.29(8)×10 ⁻³	2.99(3)×10 ⁻³	4.80(22)×10 ⁻³
	nd	3.43(3)×10 ⁻⁷	3.98(21)×10 ⁻⁶	6.25(6)×10 ⁻³	2.88(1)×10 ⁻³	4.90(22)×10 ⁻³
	nd	5.91(7)×10 ⁻⁷	4.98(32)×10 ⁻⁶	6.18(6)×10 ⁻³	2.88(1)×10 ⁻³	4.83(22)×10 ⁻³
	nd	6.74(13)×10 ⁻⁷	5.98(30)×10 ⁻⁶	6.15(8)×10 ⁻³	2.82(2)×10 ⁻³	4.76(22)×10 ⁻³
	nd	5.75(16)×10 ⁻⁷	7.43(38)×10 ⁻⁶	6.28(6)×10 ⁻³	3.06(1)×10 ⁻³	4.76(22)×10 ⁻³
	nd	8.51(16)×10 ⁻⁷	8.93(40)×10 ⁻⁶	6.28(6)×10 ⁻³	3.04(1)×10 ⁻³	5.06(23)×10 ⁻³
CIC	12.37	1.21(1)×10 ⁻⁶	9.92(44)×10 ⁻⁶	6.28(6)×10 ⁻³	3.03(2)×10 ⁻³	5.07(23)×10 ⁻³
CID	12.38	3.98(32)×10 ⁻⁶	1.35(4)×10 ⁻⁴	5.83(15)×10 ⁻³	3.10(7)×10 ⁻³	nd
CIE	12.38	4.05(28)×10 ⁻⁶	2.60(7)×10 ⁻⁴	5.68(19)×10 ⁻³	3.02(5)×10 ⁻³	nd
CIF	12.37	1.59(13)×10 ⁻³	1.52(7)×10 ⁻³	2.27(7)×10 ⁻³	1.44(4)×10 ⁻³	9.35(16)×10 ⁻³
CIG	12.41	1.03(5)×10 ⁻³	3.90(9)×10 ⁻³	8.15(16)×10 ⁻⁴	4.93(12)×10 ⁻³	nd
CIH	12.42	7.50(35)×10 ⁻³	6.14(21)×10 ⁻³	8.97(36)×10 ⁻⁵	7.86(23)×10 ⁻³	nd

177 ^a nd: not determined; Uncertainties from three times repeated analyses of identical samples
178 are given by the number in brackets on the last digit(s).

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

Table S3. Solution content of selected elements for AFm-SO₄ experiments before introducing MoO₄²⁻. Concentrations were determined after reaching dissolution equilibrium, which was confirmed by dissolution kinetics^a.

ID	pH	[Ca] _{aq} /mol L ⁻¹	[Al] _{aq} /mol L ⁻¹	[S] _{aq} /mol L ⁻¹
SA	12.35	2.69(3)×10 ⁻³	1.97(2)×10 ⁻³	5.08(22)×10 ⁻⁵
	nd	2.74(4)×10 ⁻³	1.97(2)×10 ⁻³	5.32(17)×10 ⁻⁵
	nd	2.77(3)×10 ⁻³	1.96(1)×10 ⁻³	5.08(26)×10 ⁻⁵
SB	nd	2.70(3)×10 ⁻³	1.98(1)×10 ⁻³	5.13(14)×10 ⁻⁵
	nd	2.73(3)×10 ⁻³	1.97(2)×10 ⁻³	4.88(29)×10 ⁻⁵
	nd	2.74(3)×10 ⁻³	1.97(1)×10 ⁻³	4.98(24)×10 ⁻⁵
	nd	2.68(2)×10 ⁻³	1.89(1)×10 ⁻³	6.04(16)×10 ⁻⁵
	nd	2.71(3)×10 ⁻³	1.96(1)×10 ⁻³	5.00(5)×10 ⁻⁵
	nd	2.72(3)×10 ⁻³	1.95(2)×10 ⁻³	4.93(24)×10 ⁻⁵
SC	nd	2.74(3)×10 ⁻³	1.97(2)×10 ⁻³	5.02(44)×10 ⁻⁵

^a nd: not determined; Uncertainties from three times repeated analyses of identical samples are given by the number in brackets on the last digit(s).

Table S4. Solution content of selected elements for AFm-SO₄ experiments after equilibrium with MoO₄²⁻ for 48 h^a.

ID	pH	[Mo] _{aq} /mol L ⁻¹	<i>q</i> /mol g ⁻¹	[Ca] _{aq} /mol L ⁻¹	[Al] _{aq} /mol L ⁻¹	[S] _{aq} /mol L ⁻¹
SA	12.34	8.75(90)×10 ⁻⁷	2.62(3.04)×10 ⁻⁸	2.77(3)×10 ⁻³	1.95(2)×10 ⁻³	6.00(17)×10 ⁻⁵
	nd	1.90(11)×10 ⁻⁶	5.61(3.67)×10 ⁻⁸	2.80(3)×10 ⁻³	1.97(2)×10 ⁻³	5.11(13)×10 ⁻⁵
	nd	3.96(26)×10 ⁻⁶	8.76(9.20)×10 ⁻⁸	2.65(3)×10 ⁻³	1.85(1)×10 ⁻³	6.09(4)×10 ⁻⁵
SB	12.35	4.88(35)×10 ⁻⁶	1.39(1.18)×10 ⁻⁷	2.74(3)×10 ⁻³	1.92(1)×10 ⁻³	5.21(4)×10 ⁻⁵
	nd	7.86(49)×10 ⁻⁶	2.21(1.59)×10 ⁻⁷	2.78(4)×10 ⁻³	1.94(3)×10 ⁻³	5.19(17)×10 ⁻⁵
	nd	9.70(6)×10 ⁻⁶	4.22(2.25)×10 ⁻⁷	2.68(3)×10 ⁻³	1.86(1)×10 ⁻³	5.95(9)×10 ⁻⁵
	nd	1.23(8)×10 ⁻⁵	1.85(2.20)×10 ⁻⁷	2.77(4)×10 ⁻³	1.88(3)×10 ⁻³	1.29(2)×10 ⁻⁴
	nd	1.43(8)×10 ⁻⁵	5.89(2.84)×10 ⁻⁷	2.79(4)×10 ⁻³	1.98(2)×10 ⁻³	4.27(9)×10 ⁻⁵
	nd	1.73(6)×10 ⁻⁵	7.29(2.58)×10 ⁻⁷	2.77(4)×10 ⁻³	1.96(2)×10 ⁻³	4.44(9)×10 ⁻⁵
SC	12.34	1.94(5)×10 ⁻⁵	8.17(2.51)×10 ⁻⁷	2.77(3)×10 ⁻³	1.95(1)×10 ⁻³	4.45(3)×10 ⁻⁵
SD	12.35	2.07(12)×10 ⁻⁴	3.30(49)×10 ⁻⁵	2.37(6)×10 ⁻³	1.81(4)×10 ⁻³	5.41(86)×10 ⁻⁵
SE	12.36	2.84(17)×10 ⁻⁴	1.20(8)×10 ⁻⁴	2.28(8)×10 ⁻³	1.88(7)×10 ⁻³	5.98(50)×10 ⁻⁵
SF	12.41	2.66(13)×10 ⁻³	9.81(72)×10 ⁻⁴	1.18(3)×10 ⁻³	2.71(8)×10 ⁻³	2.65(22)×10 ⁻⁴
SG	12.40	2.45(15)×10 ⁻³	3.19(10)×10 ⁻³	4.07(9)×10 ⁻⁴	6.10(21)×10 ⁻³	7.56(60)×10 ⁻⁴
SH	12.42	7.63(42)×10 ⁻³	6.07(22)×10 ⁻³	1.02(4)×10 ⁻⁴	8.04(26)×10 ⁻³	2.77(4)×10 ⁻³

^a nd: not determined; Uncertainties from three times repeated analyses of identical samples are given by the number in brackets on the last digit(s).

Table S5. Equilibrium constants of selected phases^a and reactions occurred on CaAl LDHs at each sorption stage. *logK* values of AFm edge sites sorption and AFm-MoO₄ formation were adapted.

	Equation	<i>logK</i>
<i>Solubility equilibrium</i>		
AFm-Cl ₂	$\text{Ca}_4\text{Al}_2\text{Cl}_2(\text{OH})_{12} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O} + 12\text{H}^+ \rightleftharpoons 4\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{Al}^{3+} + 2\text{Cl}^- + 16\text{H}_2\text{O}$	74.93
AFm-SO ₄	$\text{Ca}_4\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)(\text{OH})_{12} \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O} + 12\text{H}^+ \rightleftharpoons 4\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{SO}_4^{2-} + 18\text{H}_2\text{O}$	73.07
AFm-MoO ₄	$\text{Ca}_4\text{Al}_2(\text{MoO}_4)(\text{OH})_{12} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O} + 12\text{H}^+ \rightleftharpoons 4\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{MoO}_4^{2-} + 16\text{H}_2\text{O}$	74.00
CaMoO ₄	$\text{CaMoO}_{4(s)} \rightleftharpoons \text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{MoO}_4^{2-}$	-7.90
Katoite(C ₃ AH ₆)	$\text{Ca}_3\text{Al}_2(\text{OH})_{12} + 12\text{H}^+ \rightleftharpoons 3\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{Al}^{3+} + 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$	80.32
Ettringite	$\text{Ca}_6\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3(\text{OH})_{12} \cdot 26\text{H}_2\text{O} + 12\text{H}^+ \rightleftharpoons 6\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{Al}^{3+} + 3\text{SO}_4^{2-} + 38\text{H}_2\text{O}$	56.97
<i>Sorption stage</i>		
Edge sorption	$2\text{AFm_edge-OH} + \text{MoO}_4^{2-} \rightleftharpoons (\text{AFm_edge})_2\text{-MoO}_4 + 2\text{OH}^-$	2.80 ^b , 1.05 ^c
AFm-MoO ₄ formation	$4\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{MoO}_4^{2-} + 16\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{Ca}_4\text{Al}_2(\text{MoO}_4)(\text{OH})_{12} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O} + 12\text{H}^+$	-74.00
CaMoO ₄ precipitation	$\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{MoO}_4^{2-} \rightleftharpoons \text{CaMoO}_{4(s)}$	7.90

^a *logK* values of other phases refer to THERMOCHEMIE database⁴.

^b For edge sorption on AFm-Cl₂.

^c For edge sorption on AFm-SO₄.

Table S6. Physical parameters of AFm particles.

	SA ¹ (m ² g ⁻¹)	AEL ² (μm)	Density (g cm ⁻³)	Basal area (m ²)	LEP ³ (nm g ⁻¹)	LS ⁴ (nm ² g ⁻¹)
AFm-Cl ₂	3.5	0.5	2.11	6.50×10 ⁻¹³	2.23×10 ¹⁷	2.19×10 ¹⁸
AFm-SO ₄	1.9	5.0	2.02	6.50×10 ⁻¹¹	2.26×10 ¹⁶	2.29×10 ¹⁷

¹ SA represents specific surface area measured by N₂-sorption BET.

² AEL represents average edge length of AFm particles measured by SEM.

³ LEP represents lateral edge perimeter.

⁴ LS represents lateral surface.

225 **Table S7.** Edge sites concentration and adsorbed anion amount.

	LEP length conc. (nm L ⁻¹)	LS area conc. (nm ² L ⁻¹)	LEP site conc. (mol L ⁻¹)	LS site conc. (mol L ⁻¹)	Sample	Adsorbed Mo amount (mol L ⁻¹)
					CIA	7.86×10 ⁻⁷
AFm-Cl ₂	4.45×10 ¹⁷	4.38×10 ¹⁸	7.39×10 ⁻⁷	1.94×10 ⁻⁵	CIB	4.94×10 ⁻⁶
					CIC	1.98×10 ⁻⁵
					SA	5.25×10 ⁻⁸
AFm-SO ₄	3.52×10 ¹⁶	3.57×10 ¹⁷	5.85×10 ⁻⁸	1.58×10 ⁻⁶	SB	2.78×10 ⁻⁷
					SC	1.63×10 ⁻⁶

226 * The L/S ratio of 500 kg/kg was used. Layers' edge and lateral adsorption site densities are
 227 estimated as 1.00 nm⁻¹ and 2.67 nm⁻² (2.67 Ca atoms are included per area of 8.700×11.517 Å², seeing
 228 Figure S13), respectively. LS and LEP represent lateral surface and lateral edge perimeter,
 229 respectively.
 230

231

232

233

234

235 **References**

- 236 (1) Tournassat, C.; Neaman, A.; Villieras, F.; Bosbach, D.; Charlet, L. Nanomorphology of
 237 montmorillonite particles: Estimation of the clay edge sorption site density by low-pressure gas
 238 adsorption and AFM observations. *Am. Mineral.* **2003**, *88* (11-12), 1989-1995.
 239 (2) Baquerizo, L. G.; Matschei, T.; Scrivener, K. L.; Saeidpour, M.; Wadsö, L. Hydration states of
 240 AFm cement phases. *Cem. Concr. Res.* **2015**, *73*, 143-157.
 241 (3) Mesbah, A.; Rapin, J. P.; Francois, M.; Cau-dit-Coumes, C.; Frizon, F.; Leroux, F.; Renaudin, G.
 242 Crystal structures and phase transition of cementitious bi-anionic AFm-(Cl⁻, CO₃²⁻) compounds. *J. Am.*
 243 *Ceram. Soc.* **2011**, *94* (1), 262-269.
 244 (4) Giffaut, E.; Grivé, M.; Blanc, P.; Vieillard, P.; Colàs, E.; Gailhanou, H.; Gaboreau, S.; Marty, N.;
 245 Made, B.; Duro, L. Andra thermodynamic database for performance assessment: ThermoChimie. *Appl.*
 246 *Geochem.* **2014**, *49*, 225-236.