1 —Quantifying the dominant sources of sediment in a drained lowland agricultural catchment: the
2 application of a thorium-based particle size correction in sediment fingerprinting

3
4
5 Anthony Foucher ^{a,*}, J. Patrick Laceby ^b, Sébastien Salvador-Blanes ^a, Olivier Evrard ^b, Marion Le
6 Gall ^b, Irène Lefèvre ^b, Olivier Cerdan ^c, Vignesh Rajkumar ^{a,d}, Marc Desmet ^a

7
8
9 ^aE.A. 6293 GéHCO, GéoHydrosystèmes COntinentaux, Université F. Rabelais de Tours, Faculté des Sciences et
10 Techniques, Parc de Grandmont, 37200 Tours, France

11 ^bLaboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (LSCE/IPSL), UMR 8212 (CEA-CNRS-UVSQ),
12 Avenue de la Terrasse, 91198 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

13 ^cDépartement Risques et Prévention, Bureau de Recherches Géologiques et Minières, 3 avenue Claude
14 Guillemin, 45060 Orléans, France

15 ^dCentre for Water Resources, CEG, Anna University, Sardar Patel Rd, Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600025, India

16
17
18 * **Corresponding author:** Anthony.foucher@univ-tours.fr; Tel: (33) 247367090

Abstract

Soil erosion is one of the main factors influencing land degradation and water quality at the global scale. Identifying the main sediment sources is therefore essential for the implementation of appropriate soil erosion mitigation measures. Accordingly, caesium-137 (^{137}Cs) concentrations were used to determine the relative contribution of surface and subsurface erosion sources in a lowland drained catchment in France. As ^{137}Cs concentrations are often dependent on particle size, specific surface area (*SSA*) and novel Thorium (*Th*) based particle size corrections were applied. Surface and subsurface samples were collected to characterize the radionuclide properties of potential sources. Sediment samples were collected during one hydrological year and a sediment core was sampled to represent sediment accumulated over a longer temporal period. Additionally, sediment from tile drains was sampled to determine the radionuclide properties of sediment exported from the drainage network. A distribution modeling approach was used to quantify the relative sediment contributions from surface and subsurface sources. The results highlight a substantial enrichment in fine particles and associated ^{137}Cs concentrations between the sources and the sediment. The application of both correction factors reduced this difference, with the *Th* correction providing a more accurate comparison of source and sediment samples than the *SSA* correction. Modelling results clearly indicate the dominance of surface sources during the flood events and in the sediment core. Sediment exported from the drainage network was modelled to originate predominantly from surface sources. This study demonstrates the potential of *Th* to correct for ^{137}Cs particle size enrichment. More importantly, this research indicates that drainage networks may significantly increase the connectivity of surface sources to stream networks. Managing sediment transferred through drainage networks may reduce the deleterious effects of suspended sediment loads on riverine systems in similar lowland drained agricultural catchments.

Key Words: Sediment tracing, Agricultural lowland areas, Cesium-137, Particle size correction, Tile drainage networks

Highlights:

- Source and sediment caesium-137 activities were used to trace sediment sources
- Two particle size corrections were compared to address enrichment in fine particles
- A thorium-based correction outperformed a specific surface area correction
- Sediment in this lowland agricultural catchment is supplied by surface sources
- Drainage networks may increase the connectivity of surface sources to rivers

1. Introduction

Soil erosion by water affects a significant proportion (16%) of agricultural land in Europe (Cerdan et al., 2010; Jones et al., 2012). Although natural, this degradation process is accelerated by land use change and anthropogenic pressures in agricultural landscapes (Bakker et al., 2008; Chartin et al., 2011; Sharma et al., 2011). Around the world, the negative effects of soil erosion are characterized by the decline of crop yields, the reduction of soil water storage capacity and decreases in soil organic matter (Berger et al., 2006; Boardman and Poesen, 2006). The main problems associated with accelerated soil erosion are often not only the actual soil loss itself, rather the adverse effects of elevated suspended sediment yields and adsorbed contaminants downstream (Walling et al., 2003).

The excess of fine sediment particles (e.g., $<63\ \mu\text{m}$) associated with soil erosion are detrimental to water quality and stream environments (Kronvang et al., 2003; Owens et al., 2005; Horowitz, 2008). Elevated fine sediment loads can result in numerous problems such as elevated turbidity and reservoir siltation (Wood and Armitage, 1997; Nakamura et al., 2004). Importantly, fine sediments often transport contaminants such as heavy metals, Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCB), phosphorus, pesticides, pathogens, and fallout radionuclides (Desmet et al., 2012; Ayrault et al., 2014; Evrard et al., 2014).

Currently, there is a limited understanding regarding the dominant sediment source in France in particular, and in drained lowland cultivated areas in general (Russell et al., 2001; Walling et al., 2002). The implementation of highly productive cropland agriculture practices in these regions has included the installation of drainage networks that induce a high level of connectivity between eroding soils and river systems. Although these land management changes were clearly associated with increased sediment yields (e.g Foster and Walling, 1994; Dearing and Jones, 2003; Ahn et al., 2008), the identification of the dominant sediment sources, their dynamics, and their pathways in drained landscapes with similar intensive agricultural practices remains poorly understood. Indeed, research has highlighted the limited information available regarding sediment exported through drainage networks in these environments (Kronvang et al., 1997; Walling and Collins, 2005; King et al., 2014).

To implement appropriate measures to reduce erosion and sediment production (Evrard et al., 2008), it is essential to identify the dominant sediment sources and understand their dynamics. Sediment fingerprinting techniques provide a direct method to identify and quantify sediment contributions from different sources (Collins and Walling, 2002). The technique is based on the direct comparison of sediment and source properties (Walling et al., 1993; Collins et al., 2010). A variety of parameters have been used in sediment fingerprinting studies to discriminate between potential sources, including geochemical composition, sediment color, plant pollen, and fallout radionuclides (Brown, 1985; Walling et al., 2002; Martinez-Carreras et al., 2010). In particular, caesium-137 (^{137}Cs)

discriminates between surface and subsurface soils, regardless of other soil properties including catchment geology (Wallbrink et al., 1996; Walling, 2005; Caitcheon et al., 2012).

^{137}Cs ($t_{1/2} = 30$ years) is an artificial radionuclide originating from two main sources in Western Europe: thermonuclear weapons testing (1950–1970s) and the Chernobyl accident. Fallout from the Fukushima accident in 2011 was shown to be negligible in this region (Evrard et al., 2012). ^{137}Cs is quickly and predominantly fixed to fine particles (He and Walling, 1996; Wallbrink and Murray, 1996; Motha et al., 2002). In undisturbed soils, ^{137}Cs is concentrated near the soil surface (i.e. within the top 10 cm), with concentrations decreasing exponentially with depth (Matisoff et al., 2002). In cultivated soils, ^{137}Cs concentrations are homogenized by tillage (He and Walling, 1997; Matisoff et al., 2002; Chartin et al., 2011). Accordingly, sediment generated from subsoil erosion processes, such as channel bank erosion, will have low ^{137}Cs concentrations, whereas sediment generated from surface soils will have elevated ^{137}Cs concentrations (e.g. Caitcheon et al., 2012; Olley et al., 2013). Through comparing sediment and source ^{137}Cs concentrations, it is possible to determine whether sediment is derived from surface or subsurface sources.

Soil erosion processes result in the preferential mobilization and transfer of fine sediment particles (He and Walling, 1996). To reduce the impacts of grain size selectivity, many fingerprinting studies isolate either the $<10\ \mu\text{m}$ (Olley and Caitcheon, 2000; Wallbrink, 2004) or the $<63\ \mu\text{m}$ fraction (Navratil et al., 2012; Pulley et al., 2015) to mitigate differences in the particle size distributions of source soil and sediment. To further reduce the grain size effect when tracing sediment, a correcting factor has been applied (Collins et al., 1996). This correction is based on the specific surface area (SSA) of sediment and source soils, and it relies on the assumption of positive linearity between SSA and tracer property concentrations. Linear particle size correction factors may be useful when there is a narrow range of particle size differences between source soils and sediment (Koiter et al., 2013). This particle size correction has been applied to various tracers, such as radionuclides (He and Owens, 1995; He and Walling, 1996), phosphorus (Owens and Walling, 2002) and extractable metals (Horowitz and Elrick, 1987).

Although this particle size correction has been widely applied (Collins et al., 2001; Carter et al., 2003), there are acknowledged limitations (Koiter et al., 2013). For example, Smith and Blake (2014) demonstrated that the most critical assumption, which could have large effects on source apportionments, is the hypothesis of positive linearity between particle size and tracer concentration. Contrary to previous studies, Smith and Blake (2014) demonstrated that this relationship does not apply to all tracer properties and that the assumption of linearity must be routinely tested. Russell et al. (2001) also demonstrated that the linear particle size correction relationship was inappropriate when there were large SSA differences between sources.

Given the potential uncertainty associated with the SSA correction, some authors, such as Martinez-Carreras et al. (2010), do not apply it. As an alternative to the SSA-derived correction, Sakaguchi et al. (2006) demonstrated the potential of a Thorium (Th) normalization to correct for the

grain size effect in lake sediment cores. Owing to its measurement in gamma spectrometry along with ^{137}Cs , there is a novel utility in the potential for Th to correct for grain size differences that could remove the need for simultaneous SSA measurements in sediment fingerprinting studies using fallout radionuclides for source discrimination.

The objective of this research is to identify the dominant sediment sources and their temporal variation in the Louroux catchment, France; a small agricultural catchment, representative of the lowland drained landscapes of Western Europe. To determine the main source of sediment in the Louroux catchment, first we examine particle size effects and compare the impacts of Th and SSA corrections on ^{137}Cs soil and channel bank concentrations. Second, we characterize the ^{137}Cs concentrations of surface and subsurface sources. Third, we model the relative contribution of these sources to sediment sampled throughout a year, a sediment core collected in a pond at the outlet of the catchment, and sediment sampled within the tile drainage network.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Study area

The Louroux pond catchment (24 km²) is a headwater agricultural basin located in the central part of the Loire River basin, France (Fig. 1). The catchment is characterized by a flat topography (average slope 0.44%; altitude ranging from 94 to 129 m). The catchment surface is primarily occupied by arable land (78%), followed by pasture (18%) and forest (4%) (European Environment Agency, 2002). The climate is temperate oceanic with a mean annual rainfall of 684 mm (between 1971–2000). The bedrock consists of carbonates, detrital and loess deposits (Rasplus et al., 1982). Soils are classified as Epistagnic Luvic Cambisols, which are predominantly hydromorphic and prone to surface crusting (Froger et al., 1994).

This basin, like the majority of the great western agricultural plain in Europe, has undergone a global modernization of agricultural practices and land use changes. Two land consolidation schemes were implemented in the catchment in 1954 and 1992. Stream networks were created or redesigned, and tile drainage networks were installed to drain the hydromorphic soils.

The Louroux pond, located at the catchment outlet (52 ha; Fig. 1), was created during the Middle-Ages (1000 AD). Recent research indicated that a significant increase in soil erosion in the catchment during the last 70 years resulted in the accelerated sedimentation and eutrophication of the pond (Foucher et al., 2015). Over the last decade, the Louroux pond has received an annual average input of 2500 tons of terrigenous material (Foucher et al., 2015).

2.2 Sampling

2.2.1. Soil and stream bank sampling

Soil samples from ground surfaces and subsurface material exposed on actively eroding river banks were collected from January 2013 to February 2014. Sampling was restricted to cropland areas, as soil erosion was shown to be negligible under grassland in similar environments of Northwestern Europe (Cerdan et al., 2002; Evrard et al., 2010). In addition, grassland areas in this catchment are ploughed approximately every 10 years, mixing ^{137}Cs in the soil profile similarly to croplands.

Surface sources ($n = 34$) were sampled by scraping the top 2–3 cm layer of soil with a plastic spatula in potentially eroding areas directly connected to the stream network. Each of these surface source composite samples was comprised of five sub-samples collected within an area of 5 m². Subsurface sources ($n = 15$) were sampled by scraping a 2–3 cm layer of the actively eroding bank face. In addition, sediment was collected in hillslopes during the rain by placing plastic bottles on the hillslope surface to sample sediment during runoff events ($n = 3$). These plastic bottles were collected after events along with the deposited sediment.

2.2.2. Suspended sediment sampling

Hydro-sedimentary parameters were continuously recorded at five automated monitoring sites (S1–S5, Fig. 1). Water discharge was measured using a v-notch weir. Suspended sediment was continuously measured using a Ponsel[®] calibrated turbidity sensor. Twenty-four liters of river water were automatically collected according to the water level at each station during five flood events ($n = 21$) and once during the low-water period ($n = 4$) to examine variability throughout the hydrological year in 2013–2014. All individual samples for each station and for each flood were mixed to prepare composite samples. In addition to the river monitoring sites, three stations were installed at tile drain outlets to characterize the properties of the material transiting through the drainage networks and to determine if sediment exported from this network had ^{137}Cs concentrations similar to surface or subsurface sources (Fig. 1). To characterize the origin of sediment accumulated over a longer temporal period (2003–2013) at the catchment outlet (Fig. 1), the top 10 cm of a 110 cm long core collected in March 2013 was subsampled in 3 cm increments. This sediment core was sampled in the central pond depression, an area that is representative of sediment deposition in the Louroux Pond. More details on the core sampling and fallout radionuclide dating are provided in Foucher et al. (2015).

2.3. Sample treatment and analysis

Suspended sediment concentrations for the composite samples were determined by weighing after filtration (40 microns acetate filters). Particle size analyses were performed on all suspended sediment samples as well as on randomly selected source samples ($n = 18$) after removing carbonates

and organic material with hydrogen peroxide. Particle size was analysed with laser granulometry (Malvern Mastersizer®) characterizing the textural parameters ranging between 0.01 and 3500 µm.

Randomly selected subsamples of subsurface ($n = 5$) and surface sources ($n = 5$) were sieved to determine radionuclide activities in different particle size fractions. Samples were mechanically sieved to 63 and 50 µm. The <20 µm fraction was then isolated by using the settling velocity relationship predicted by Stokes' Law. These analyses were used to calculate the difference between the bulk samples and the sieved samples, and to examine the utility of the two different corrections.

Suspended sediments collected during the flood events were flocculated using calcium hydroxide (CaOH_2), to recover and concentrate radionuclides. For the measurement of radionuclides, ~80 g of material was analysed for source samples and ~10 g for sediment samples. Activities were determined by gamma spectrometry using low background coaxial N and P type GeHP detectors (Canberra/Ortec) at the Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (France) (Evrard et al., 2011). Radionuclides activities were decay-corrected to the sampling date. In addition to the radionuclide measurement, *Th* concentrations expressed in ppm were calculated from ^{228}Th activity concentrations. Only ^{137}Cs is modelled in this study as only one tracer is required to discriminate between two sources.

2.4. Particle size corrections

To limit the bias potentially introduced by particle size difference between sources and sediment, a correcting factor used by Collins et al. (1996) was applied to radionuclide activities. This approach is based on the ratio of *SSA* of each individual sediment sample to the mean *SSA* of each source type, multiplied by the mean activity for each source:

$$SSA \text{ correcting factor}_i = \frac{(SSA_i)}{(SSA_y)} \times T_y \quad (1)$$

where SSA_i = specific surface area for each individual sample (i), SSA_y = specific surface area for each source type (y), and T_y = mean tracer concentration for each source type (y).

The *Th* content correction was calculated based on the ratio of the radionuclide concentration normalized with $\ln(Th)$ of each individual sample, to the mean radionuclide concentration normalized with $\ln(Th)$ of each source type, multiplied by the mean radionuclide concentration of each source:

$$\ln(Th)_{correcting\ factor_i} = \frac{T_i / \ln(Th)_i}{T_y / \ln(Th)_y} \times T_y \quad (2)$$

250

251 where T_i = the tracer concentration for each individual sample (i), $\ln(Th)_i$ = the logarithm of the Th
 252 concentration (in ppm) for each individual sample (i), and $\ln(Th)_y$ = the logarithm of the Th
 253 concentration (in ppm) for each source type (y).

254 The SSA and Th corrections were applied for randomly selected soil ($n = 10$) and channel bank
 255 samples ($n = 7$) to test the relationship between the corrected and measured ^{137}Cs values. These results
 256 were then used to calculate the corrected values of ^{137}Cs with the SSA and Th correction factors of Eqs.
 257 (1) and (2). These corrected and measured values are first plotted to deduce equations from these
 258 relationships. These relations are then used to correct the entire dataset for each source type and for
 259 both correction techniques. To check the applicability of these corrections, sediment samples were
 260 collected on hillslopes during different hydrological periods and the ^{137}Cs activities measured for these
 261 samples were compared to these corrected values.

262

263

264 2.5. Distribution modelling

265

266 Distribution modelling approaches have been recently applied to sediment tracing research
 267 analyzing fallout radionuclides and elemental geochemistry (Caitcheon et al., 2012; Olley et al., 2013;
 268 Laceby and Olley, 2015). This modelling approach incorporates distributions throughout the entire
 269 modelling framework, including the relative contribution terms (i.e. not only source and in-stream
 270 components).

271 To determine the relative source contribution to in-stream sediment, it is assumed that the
 272 sediment samples are derived from a discrete mixture of sources with surface sources contributing x
 273 and subsurface sources contributing $1 - x$. With the distribution modelling approach, x is modelled as
 274 a truncated normal distribution ($0 \leq x \leq 1$), with a mixture mean (μ_m) and standard deviation (σ_m).
 275 Distributions of ^{137}Cs activities in surface (A) and subsurface (B) sources are then modelled when
 276 determining the relative source contributions (x) to in-stream sediment based on the following
 277 equation:

278

$$Ax + B(1-x) = C \quad (3)$$

280

281 where C is the in-stream sediment distribution. Normal distributions were modelled for source and
 282 sediment distributions as Laceby and Olley (2015) demonstrated that they resulted in more accurate
 283 modelling results compared to Student's t distributions. Sediment collected from the core sample from
 284 the Louroux Pond and sediment obtained from the tile drainage network were first individually

modelled. Second, distributions were modelled for sediment sample groups for different flood events and also the different monitoring stations.

The model was optimized with the Optquest algorithm in Oracle's Crystal Ball software. For more details on the modelling approach, see Laceby and Olley (2015). In general, the optimal value of x was determined by simultaneously solving Eq. (3) 2500 times with the Optquest algorithm. During this simulation, 2500 Latin hypercube samples were drawn from the source (A and B) and in-stream sediment (C) distributions while solving Eq. (3) by varying x , μ_m and σ_m . This simulation was then repeated an additional 2500 times with the median of x for these additional simulations being reported as the surface source proportional contribution to sampled sediment.

The model uncertainty for each sources' proportional contribution is calculated by summing the modelled σ_m , with the median absolute deviation (MAD) of σ_m , and also the MAD of the modelled source proportional contributions. The latter two components of model error are calculated from the additional 2500 simulations. This model uncertainty combines actual σ_m for each source contribution with the MAD of this standard deviation and the MAD of the actual source contribution (x) for the additional 2500 simulations.

3. Results

3.1. Correction of grain size effects

Particle size analyses highlight differences between the D_{50} (median particle size) of the surface ($32.2 \pm 7 \mu\text{m}$) and subsurface sources ($38.5 \pm 8 \mu\text{m}$) in comparison to the in-stream sediment ($6.2 \pm 2 \mu\text{m}$). A t -test between the two sources did not show a significant difference between their D_{50} (p -value = 0.14). Significant differences were found between D_{50} of surface sources and in-stream sediment ($p = 0.00$) and also between subsurface sources and in-stream sediment samples ($p = 0.00$). In addition, soil samples collected before and during runoff events clearly highlight the particle size enrichment during sediment generation processes, with an average activity measured before the runoff of $3.7 \pm 3 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$ compared to $17 \pm 3 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$ during runoff. These samples demonstrate a difference of 78% between surface sources and generated sediment, with an enrichment factor of 4.6.

The significant particle size effect noted above may prevent the direct comparison between bulk sources and sediment samples. To test the impact of this effect on radionuclide activities, several source samples were fractionated. The results from five soil samples highlight an enrichment factor of 2 between the bulk and the $<20 \mu\text{m}$ particle size fraction for the soil samples and the absence of detectable ^{137}Cs in the 20-50 μm fraction for these samples (Fig. 2). There is an 80% difference between the bulk and sediment particle size which can impact modelling results. For the 63 μm

fraction, this difference is reduced to 77% compared to 64% for the 20 μm fraction. Isolating the <20 μm fraction does not allow for a direct comparison between the sources and the suspended sediment. The particle size enrichment of ^{137}Cs concentrations is plotted in Fig. 3 demonstrating clearly that sediment samples collected during the flood events are distinct from the source soils. To address this particle size effect and allow for comparison between sources and sediment samples, source activities were corrected with two distinct particle size proxies.

SSA, *Th* and ^{137}Cs activities measured for soil ($n = 10$) and channel bank samples ($n = 7$) were plotted to deduce the relationships between the corrected and measured values (Fig. 4). These plots demonstrate that for the *SSA* correction a strong linear relationship exists between the corrected values and measured ^{137}Cs activities in the soil ($r^2 = 0.89$) and channel bank samples ($r^2 = 0.94$) (Fig. 4). The *Th* particle size correction, based on $\ln(\text{Th})$ normalization, also had a strong exponential relationship for the channel bank samples ($r^2 = 0.98$) and surface soil samples ($r^2 = 0.96$). Both corrections have a similar relationship for the subsurface samples. In contrast, the relationship obtained for the surface samples was different. The ^{137}Cs activities after the *SSA* correction ranged between 3.2 and 20 Bq kg^{-1} whereas the ^{137}Cs activities after the *Th* normalization ranged between 1 and 30 Bq kg^{-1} . For both approaches, the mean values are comparable, 9.3 and 10.2 Bq kg^{-1} for the *SSA* and *Th* corrections respectively. The difference was the wider range with the *Th* correction.

As particle size data were available for only part of the dataset, both correcting factors were applied to the whole data set (subsurface sources $n = 15$ and surface sources $n = 34$) by using the equations for the surface and subsurface samples shown in Fig. 4. The corrected data are plotted with $^{210}\text{Pb}_{\text{ex}}$ in Fig. 5. After the application of both corrections, sediment ^{137}Cs activities generally plotted within the ^{137}Cs activity range of the potential sources. With the *SSA* correction, five sediment samples remain outside the source range (black squares in Fig. 5), whereas with the *Th* correction only one sample plots outside the source range. Therefore, the *Th* correction likely provides a more direct comparison with the sediment samples than the *SSA* correction.

3.2. ^{137}Cs concentration in source samples

Activities in the samples collected from stream banks range between 0 ± 0.3 and $3.1 \pm 0.2 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$ with an average of $1.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$. Soil surface activities range between 1 ± 0.1 and $7.9 \pm 0.2 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$ with an average of $3.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$. These potential sources are statistically different ($p = 0.00$).

After the application of the *SSA* correction, the subsurface sample activities range between 0.7 and 5.1 Bq kg^{-1} (mean: 1.7 Bq kg^{-1}). These sample activities, after the *Th* correction, range between 0 and 6.5 Bq kg^{-1} (mean: 1.8 Bq kg^{-1}). The surface sample concentrations are more variable, ranging between 3.7 and 23.8 Bq kg^{-1} (mean: 10 Bq kg^{-1}) after the *SSA* correction and between 0.8 and 35.5 Bq kg^{-1} after the *Th* correction.

kg⁻¹ (mean: 11.2 Bq kg⁻¹) after the *Th* correction. Summary statistics of ¹³⁷Cs concentrations are provided in Table 1.

The fitted normal distributions for surface and subsurface sources with both particle size corrections are plotted in Fig. 6. The source samples are plotted in rank order. The source distribution areas overlap only by 25% with the *SSA* correction compared to 62% with the *Th* correction. These distributions are used to model the proportional contribution of the potential sources to suspended sediment, the core samples, and the drainage network samples.

3.3. ¹³⁷Cs concentration in sediments samples

Activities of ¹³⁷Cs for the 23 sediment samples collected over the hydrological year range between 6.1 ± 1 and 36.7 ± 4.7 Bq kg⁻¹ with an average of 18.4 ± 3.4 Bq kg⁻¹. The highest ¹³⁷Cs activities have been recorded at the Masnier (station 3) and Grand Bray rivers (station 2) with average ¹³⁷Cs activities of 19 ± 4.9 and 22.4 ± 4.3 Bq kg⁻¹, respectively. For the other stations, ¹³⁷Cs activities were similar (17.7 ± 3.6 , 16.7 ± 2 and 15.5 ± 2.1 Bq kg⁻¹ for stations 1, 4 and 5). The flood event on January 29, 2014 had the highest activity of all floods, with an average activity of 24.7 ± 2.8 Bq kg⁻¹ followed by the flood of April 4, 2014 (19.8 ± 7.3 Bq kg⁻¹). The two floods sampled on December 29, 2013 and February 13, 2014 were similar, with ¹³⁷Cs concentrations ranging between 17.1 ± 1.1 and 17 ± 4.3 Bq kg⁻¹ respectively. Samples collected during the low-flow periods are characterized by low ¹³⁷Cs activities (6.9 ± 0.8 Bq kg⁻¹ on September 10, 2013 and 13.9 ± 12.3 Bq kg⁻¹ on April 30, 2014). The sediment collected at the tile drain outlets ($n = 5$) were characterized by the presence of high ¹³⁷Cs activities with an average of 23.4 ± 4 Bq kg⁻¹ (ranging between 8.6 ± 0.3 and 30.6 ± 0.5 Bq kg⁻¹) indicative of elevated surface source contributions. As shown in Fig. 5, these high concentrations of ¹³⁷Cs in the sediment samples mainly plot within the concentration range of surface sources. Over a 10-year period (2003–2013) the ¹³⁷Cs activity of material accumulated in the pond ranged between 10.9 ± 0.7 and 11.9 ± 0.7 Bq kg⁻¹ with an average activity at the upper 10 cm of the core being 11.4 ± 0.5 Bq kg⁻¹. These values also plot clearly within the surface source range. Summary statistics of ¹³⁷Cs concentrations are provided in Table 2 for all sediment samples.

3.4. Modelling results – source identification

When all sediment samples from the monitoring stations are grouped together (*C* in Eq. (3)), the modelling results for both corrections indicate that sediment transported in the Louroux catchment are almost entirely originated from surface sources ($99 \pm 1.2\%$ with the *SSA* correction and $94 \pm 1.5\%$ with the *Th* correction). Corrected ¹³⁷Cs activities in sediment collected at the different monitoring stations clearly plots within the distribution of surface source ¹³⁷Cs activities (Fig. 7). The modelling results averaged for the 5 monitoring stations indicate a surface contribution of 99% ($\pm 0.5\%$) with the

SSA correction. The results with the *Th* correction are comparable with a mean modelled surface contribution of 98% ($\pm 1.9\%$). The mean difference between surface source contributions with the SSA and *Th* corrections modelled for all monitoring stations was 1.2% ($\pm 1.6\%$). Table 3 lists all modelling results.

To further examine sediment sources in this catchment, the different events sampled were modelled separately. Sediment samples collected during flood events have elevated ^{137}Cs concentrations (suspended sediment samples SSE2–6 in Fig. 8). These high activities indicate a major contribution of surface material during the flood events. The modelled results indicate a surface source contribution of 99% ($\pm 0.4\%$) with the SSA correction and 99% ($\pm 0.5\%$) with the *Th* correction. Samples collected during a low flow event had a ^{137}Cs signature clearly lower than during the flood conditions representative of a more homogeneous mixture of surface and subsurface sources (SSE1 in Fig. 8). During the low flow period, the sediment distribution plotted closer to the subsurface source distribution (Fig. 8). Surface sources were modelled to contribute 49% ($\pm 3.5\%$) with the SSA correction compared to 40% ($\pm 2.1\%$) with the *Th* correction. Although there was a 9% difference between the modelled results with the SSA and *Th* corrections for the low flow event, the mean difference between the modelled surface source contributions for all events was only 2% ($\pm 3\%$).

The mean ^{137}Cs value of sediment at the tile drainage network outlet ($23.4 \pm 4 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$) was higher than during the floods ($18.4 \pm 3.4 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$), (Figs. 6 and 7). Sediment exported from the drainage network was modelled to originate predominantly from surface sources ($99 \pm 2.5\%$) with both corrections (Fig. 9). This indicates that these drainage networks potentially facilitate the transfer of surface soils and should be treated as a surface source in this catchment. For the sediment core, results indicate that sediment is mainly derived from surface sources with a modelled contribution of 99% ($\pm 1.5\%$) with the SSA correction compared to 97% ($\pm 6.7\%$) with the *Th* correction.

4. Discussion

In this study the SSA and *Th* corrections reduced particle size enrichment impacts on ^{137}Cs concentrations. The SSA measured for both sources were similar (subsurface mean: $340 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$, surface mean: $390 \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}$). The significant difference between sediments and source SSA is potentially indicative of particle size enrichment during sediment mobilization and transport processes. This enrichment is highlighted by the large observed particle size difference between sources and sediment. The application of both particle size corrections clearly improved the relationship between sediment and their sources. When examining all modelling results, there was only a mean difference of 2% ($\pm 3\%$) between both corrective approaches. There was only one exception, the low flow sediment sample (SSE1) where modelling approaches differed by 9%.

The main observed difference between the *Th* and *SSA* corrections could be because the application of the *Th* correction allows a broader range of values than the *SSA* approach. Nevertheless, the high values for the surface sources obtained with the *Th* correction do not seem to be outliers as the drain samples have higher ^{137}Cs activities. By comparison at the sample scale, the *Th* correction is more applicable than the *SSA* correction. The application of the *Th* correction to the surface source samples (measured ^{137}Cs activity: $3.7 \pm 3 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$) changed the surface source ^{137}Cs activity to 14.3 Bq kg^{-1} compared to 11.4 Bq kg^{-1} with the *SSA* correction. The average ^{137}Cs activities during runoff was $17 \pm 3 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$. The application of both corrections could be improved by collecting additional sediment and source samples covering a wider range of ^{137}Cs activities.

The modelling results for sediment samples taken over the entire hydrological year (2013/2014) and for the sediment core clearly illustrate that surface sources dominate the supply of sediment in this catchment ($\mu = 97\% \pm 6.7\%$ for the sediments and $99 \pm 1.5\%$ for the sediment core). These results are in agreement with the review on sediment sources in British rivers that indicated surface sources dominate the supply of sediment, accounting for between 60% and 96% of the sediment yields, with 85–95% being typical (Walling, 2005).

The sediment exported by the drainage network is characterized by higher ^{137}Cs activities than the soil samples ($3.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$ for average soil samples and $23.4 \pm 4 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$ for drainage network sediment). These high values are worthy of attention because ^{137}Cs activity concentrations are expected to decrease below the plough depth in cultivated soil (He and Walling, 1997) and are nearly undetectable in subsurface soil (Matisoff et al., 2002). One possibility could be that there has been ^{137}Cs migration down in the soil profile in our catchment. According to previous studies (Sogon et al., 1999; Walling et al., 2002; Chapman et al., 2005), macropores are a potential link between the topsoil with high ^{137}Cs activities and the drainage networks. These macropores can be induced by the soil properties, agricultural soil works, and vegetal activities (Oygarden et al., 1997), and they can be a preferential pathway of sediment originating from the topsoil and labelled with ^{137}Cs (Jagercikova et al., 2014). This direct pathway offers the best explanation for the rapid sediment transport observed by Chapman et al. (2005) and potentially the high ^{137}Cs activities observed in sediment sampled in our studied drainage network.

Another explanation is that eroded surface material is being exported through the drainage network. Similarly to Walling et al. (2002), sediment samples from the studied field drains have higher ^{137}Cs activities than the soil samples. This radionuclide enrichment at the drainage network outlet has also been described by Sogon (1999) who demonstrated the occurrence of preferential particle size selection in the upper soil and the migration of the finest particles through the tile drainage network during runoff events. A combination of these factors likely explains this particle selectivity between soil and drain material and additional research is required to determine the dominant transfer mechanisms.

There are limited analyses of sediment contributions from drainage networks available in France (Penven and Muxart, 1995; Sogon et al., 1999; Penven et al., 2001). Research from other countries demonstrated that drainage networks provide a significant contribution to sediment export. In the UK, drainage networks were clearly an important source to sediment yields accounting for between 27% and 55% of sediment exported (Russell et al., 2001; Walling et al., 2002). Furthermore, Foster et al. (2003) reported that drainage networks contributed more than 50% of sediment. At the global scale, drainage network contributions may be significant. For instance, Macrae et al. (2007) estimated >42% of annual hydrological discharge is originated from the drainage network in a Canadian agricultural catchment. According to King et al. (2014), this hydrological pathway is understudied in agricultural basins. More research is required in the study area to determine whether ^{137}Cs has migrated down through the soil profile or whether these drainage networks simply act as conduit for quick transportation of surface soils to the stream network during runoff events.

At the global scale, previous sediment fingerprinting studies demonstrated that subsurface contribution to sediment yields varied among catchments depending on several parameters such as morphology and land use. Data compiled by Walling and Collins (2005) indicate that generally bank erosion can contribute between 5% and 15% of sediment exported in British rivers but in some cases it can exceed 40%. In the review of Haddadchi et al. (2013), subsurface erosion from channel bank sources was reported to contribute typically between 15% to 30% of suspended sediment load. In Australian catchments, it is not uncommon for subsurface sources to contribute more than 90% of sediment load (Caitcheon et al., 2012; Olley et al., 2013; Laceby et al., 2015). Our results remain in agreement with European studies, with an average riverbank contribution ranging between 11% to 32% for the last decade.

In the Louroux catchment, the majority of the sediments are exported during the flood events. Modelling results indicate the dominance of the surface sources during these events whereas samples collected during the lower flow periods have an increased proportion of sediments derived from subsurface sources. The volume of water and sediment exported during the low flow events is often insignificant compared to the volume of sediment exported during flood events, though more research is required to define the relative proportion of both sources during the entire hydrological year.

5. Conclusions

This study highlights the potential of Th based particle size corrections. The application of this correcting factor produced globally better results than the SSA correction. There remains, however, more experimentation required to test the validity of this approach to particle size correction in various environments, particularly catchments with heterogeneous geologies where Th may be a significant discriminator between different spatial sediment sources.

Modelling results indicate that sediment transported during the flood events in the Louroux catchment are almost entirely originated from surface sources, regardless of the correcting factor employed (~ 99%). During these events, two pathways can mobilize this surface source: sheet and rill erosion associated with the runoff events and the sediment exported from the drainage network which was modelled to originate predominantly from surface sources ($99\% \pm 2.5\%$). During the low flow period, modelling results correspond to a more homogenous mixture between surface and subsurface sources with contributions of subsurface source ranging between 51% and 60%.

Over the last 10 years, surface sources dominated the supply of sediment with both corrections in the pond ($99\% \pm 1.5\%$ to $97\% \pm 1.5\%$). Accordingly, management of deleterious sediments with contaminants accumulated within the Louroux pond should focus on reducing the supply of sediment from surface sources. Future research should examine the efficacy of drainage networks for connecting sediments from surface sources to the stream network in more detail. Managing sediment transferred through drainage networks may reduce suspended sediment loads in similar lowland agricultural catchments.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Xavier Bourrain and Jean-Noël Gautier for their advice and technical support. Support from Aurelia Mathieu, Jean-Paul Bakyono and Rosalie Vandromme with soil and sediment sampling is gratefully acknowledged. This work was supported by grants from the Loire-Brittany Water Agency (TrackSed and Drastic projects). The authors would also like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments.

References

- Ahn, Y., Nakamura, F., Mizugaki, S., 2008. Hydrology, suspended sediment dynamics and nutrient loading in Lake Takkobu, a degrading lake ecosystem in Kushiro Mire, northern Japan. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 145, pp. 267-281.
- Ayrault, S., Le Pape, P., Evrard, O., Priadi, C.R., Quantin, C., Bonté, P., Roy-Barman, M., 2014. Remanence of lead pollution in an urban river system: a multi-scale temporal and spatial study in the Seine River basin, France. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 21(6), 4134-4148.
- Bakker, M.M., Govers, G., van Doorn, A., Quetier, F., Chouvardas, D., Rounsevell, M., 2008. The response of soil erosion and sediment export to land-use change in four areas of Europe: The importance of landscape pattern. *Geomorphology*, 98(3-4), pp 213–226.
- Berger, G., Kaechele, H., Pfeffer, H., 2006. The greening of the European common agricultural policy by linking the European-wide obligation of set-aside with voluntary agri-environmental measures on a regional scale. *Environmental Science & Policy* 9(6), pp 509-524.
- Boardman, J., Poesen, J., 2006. Soil erosion in Europe: major processes, causes and consequences. In: J.Boardman and J. Poesen (Editors), *Soil erosion in Europe*. Wiley, Chichester. pp. 479-489.
- Brown, A., 1985. The potential use of pollen in the identification of suspended sediment sources. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 10, 27 - 32.
- Caitcheon, G.G., Olley, J.M., Pantus, F., Hancock, G., Leslie, C., 2012. The dominant erosion processes supplying fine sediment to three major rivers in tropical Australia, the Daly (NT), Mitchell (Qld) and Flinders (Qld) Rivers. *Geomorphology*, 151-152(No), pp 188-195.
- Carter, J., Owens, P.N., Walling, D.E., Leeks, G.J.L., 2003. Fingerprinting suspended sediment sources in a large urban river system. *Science of The Total Environment*, 314-316(0), pp. 513-534.
- Cerdan, O., Bissonnais, Y.L., Souchère, V., Martin, P., Lecomte, V., 2002. Sediment concentration in interrill flow: interactions between soil surface conditions, vegetation and rainfall. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 27(2), pp. 193-205.
- Cerdan, O., Govers, G., Le Bissonnais, Y., Van Oost, K., Poesen, J., Saby, N., Gobin, A., Vacca, A., Quinton, J., Auerswald, K., Klik, A., Kwaad, F.J.P.M., Raclot, D., Ionita, I., Rejman, J., Rousseva, S., Muxart, T., Roxo, M.J., Dostal, T., 2010. Rates and spatial variations of soil erosion in Europe: A study based on erosion plot data. *Geomorphology*, 122(1-2), 167-177.
- Chapman, A.S., Foster, I.D.L., Lees, J.A., Hodgkinson, R.A., 2005. Sediment delivery from agricultural land to rivers via subsurface drainage. *Hydrological Processes*, 19(15), pp 2875-2897.
- Chartin, C., Evrard, O., Salvador-Blanes, S., Hinschberger, F., Van Oost, K., Lefèvre, I., Daroussin, J., Macaire, J.-J., 2011. Quantifying and modelling the impact of land consolidation and field borders on soil redistribution in agricultural landscapes (1954-2009). *CATENA*, 110(0), 184-195.
- Collins, A.L, Walling, D.E., Leeks, G.J.L., 1996. Composite fingerprinting of the spatial source of fluvial suspended sediment : a case study of the Exe and Severn river basins, United Kingdom. . *Géomorphologie : relief, processus, environnement*, 2(2), pp. 41-53.

- Collins, A.L., Walling, D.E., Sickingabula, H.M., Leeks, G.J.L., 2001. Suspended sediment source fingerprinting in a small tropical catchment and some management implications. *Applied Geography*, 21(4), pp. 387-412.
- Collins, A.L., Walling, D.E., 2002. Selecting fingerprint properties for discriminating potential suspended sediment sources in river basins. *Journal of Hydrology*, 261, 218 - 244.
- Collins, A.L., Zhang, Y., Walling, D.E., Grenfell, S.E., Smith, P., 2010. Tracing sediment loss from eroding farm tracks using a geochemical fingerprinting procedure combining local and genetic algorithm optimisation. *Science of The Total Environment*, 408(22), pp 5461–5471.
- Dearing, J.A., Jones, R.T., 2003. Coupling temporal and spatial dimensions of global sediment flux through lake and marine sediment records. *Global and Planetary Change*, 39(1-2), pp. 147-168.
- Desmet, M., Mourier, B., Mahler, B.J., Van Metre, P.C., Roux, G., Persat, H., Lefèvre, I., Peretti, A., Chapron, E., Simonneau, A., Miège, C., Babut, M., 2012. Spatial and temporal trends in PCBs in sediment along the lower Rhône River, France. *Science of The Total Environment*, 433(0), 189-197.
- European Environment Agency, 2002. EEA-ETC/TE. 2002. CORINE land cover update. I&CLC2000 project. Technical guidelines, <http://terrestrial.eionet.eu.int>.
- Evrard, O., Vandaele, K., van Wesemael, B., Bielders, C.L., 2008. A grassed waterway and earthen dams to control muddy floods from a cultivated catchment of the Belgian loess belt. *Geomorphology*, 100(34), 419-428.
- Evrard, O., Nord, G., Cerdan, O., Souchère, V., Le Bissonnais, Y., Bonté, P., 2010. Modelling the impact of land use change and rainfall seasonality on sediment export from an agricultural catchment of the northwestern European loess belt. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 138(1-2), pp. 83-94.
- Evrard, O., Navratil, O., Ayrault, S., Ahmadi, M., Némery, J., Legout, C., Lefèvre, I., Poirer, A., Bonté, P., Esteves, M., 2011. Combining suspended sediment monitoring and fingerprinting to determine the spatial origin of fine sediment in a mountainous river catchment. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 36, 1072-1089.
- Evrard, O., Van Beek, P., Gateuille, D., Pont, V., Lefèvre, I., Lansard, B., Bonté, P., 2012. Evidence of the radioactive fallout in France due to the Fukushima nuclear accident. *Journal of environmental radioactivity*, 114, 54-60.
- Evrard, O., Chartin, C., Onda, Y., Lepage, H., Cerdan, O., Lefevre, I., Ayrault, S., 2014. Renewed soil erosion and remobilisation of radioactive sediment in Fukushima coastal rivers after the 2013 typhoons. *Sci. Rep.*, 4.
- Foster, I.D.L., Walling, D.E., 1994. Using reservoir deposits to reconstruct changing sediment yields and sources in the catchment of the Old Mill Reservoir, South Devon, UK, Over the past 50 years. *hydrological Sciences*(39), 347 - 368.
- Foster, I.D.L., Chapman, A.S., Hodgkinson, R.M., Jones, A.R., Lees, J.A., Turner, S.E., Scott, M., 2003. Changing suspended sediment and particulate phosphorus loads and pathways in underdrained lowland agricultural catchments; Herefordshire and Worcestershire, U.K. *Hydrobiologia*, 494(1), 119-126.
- Foucher, A., Salvador-Blanes, S., Desmet, M., Simonneau, A., Chapron, E., Evrard, O., Courp, T., Cerdan, O., Lefèvre, I., Adriaensen, H., Lecompte, F., 2015. Increased erosion and sediment yield in a lowland catchment following the intensification of agriculture (Louroux catchment, Loire Valley, France, 1950-2010). *Anthropocene*.
- Froger, D., Moulin, J., Servant, J., 1994. Les terres de Gatines, Boischaud-Nord, Pays-Fort, Touraine-Berry. Typologie des sols. Chambres d'agriculture du Cher, de l'Indre, de l'Indre et Loire et du Loire et Cher.

- Haddadchi, A., Ryder, D.S., Evrard, O., Olley, J., 2013. Sediment fingerprinting in fluvial systems: review of tracers, sediment sources and mixing models. *International Journal of Sediment Research*, 28(4), 560-578.
- He, Q., Owens, P.N., 1995. Determination of suspended sediment provenance using caesium-137, unsupported lead-210 and radium-226: a numerical mixing model approach. *Sediment and Water Quality in River Catchments*, pp. 207–227.
- He, Q., Walling, D.E., 1996. Interpreting particle size effects in the adsorption of ¹³⁷Cs and unsupported ²¹⁰Pb by mineral soils and sediments. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 30, pp. 117–137.
- He, Q., Walling, D.E., 1997. The distribution of fallout ¹³⁷Cs and ²¹⁰Pb in undisturbed and cultivated soils. *Applied Radiation and Isotopes*, 48(5), pp 677-690.
- Horowitz, A.J., Elrick, K.A., 1987. The relation of stream sediment surface area, grain size and composition to trace element chemistry. *Applied Geochemistry*, 2(4), pp. 437-451.
- Horowitz, A.J., 2008. Determining annual suspended sediment and sediment-associated trace element and nutrient fluxes. *Science of The Total Environment*, 400, 315.
- Jagercikova, M., Evrard, O., Balesdent, J., Lefèvre, I., Cornu, S., 2014. Modeling the migration of fallout radionuclides to quantify the contemporary transfer of fine particles in Luvisol profiles under different land uses and farming practices. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 140(0), pp. 82-97.
- Jones, A., Panagos, P., Barcelo, S., Bouraoui, F., Bosco, C., Dewitte, O., Gardi, C., Erhard, M., Hervás, J., Hiederer, R., Jeffery, S., Lükewille, A., Marmo, L., Montanarella, L., Olazábal, C., Petersen, J., Penizek, V., Strassburger, T., Tóth, G., Van Den Eeckhaut, M., Van Liedekerke, M., Verheijen, F., Viestova, E., Yigini, Y., 2012. The state of soil in Europe (SOER). JRC reference reports. Report 25186 EN. http://ec.europa.eu/dgs/jrc/downloads/jrc_reference_report_2012_02_soil.pdf, 80 pp.
- King, K.W., Fausey, N.R., Williams, M.R., 2014. Effect of subsurface drainage on streamflow in an agricultural headwater watershed. *Journal of Hydrology*, 519, Part A, pp 438-445.
- Koiter, A.J., Owens, P.N., Petticrew, E.L., Lobb, D.A., 2013. The behavioural characteristics of sediment properties and their implications for sediment fingerprinting as an approach for identifying sediment sources in river basins. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 125, 24-42.
- Kronvang, B., Laubel, A., Grant, R., 1997. Suspended sediment and particulate phosphorus transport and delivery pathways in an arable catchment, Gelbaek stream, Denmark. *Hydrological Processes*, 11(6), pp. 627-642.
- Kronvang, B., Kronvang, B., Laubel, A., Larsen, S.E., Friberg, N., 2003. Pesticides and heavy metals in Danish streambed sediment, *The Interactions between Sediments and Water. Developments in Hydrobiology*. Springer Netherlands, pp. 93-101.
- Lacey, J.P., Olley, J., 2015. An examination of geochemical modelling approaches to tracing sediment sources incorporating distribution mixing and elemental correlations. *Hydrological Processes*, n/a.
- Lacey, J. P., Olley, J., Pietsch, T. J., Sheldon, F. and Bunn, S. E., 2015. Identifying subsoil sediment sources with carbon and nitrogen stable isotope ratios. *Hydrol. Process.*, 29: 1956–1971
- Macrae, M.L., English, M.C., Schiff, S.L., Stone, M., 2007. Intra-annual variability in the contribution of tile drains to basin discharge and phosphorus export in a first-order agricultural catchment. *Agricultural Water Management*, 92(3), pp 171-182.
- Martinez-Carreras, N., Udelhoven, T., Krein, A., Gallart, F., Iffly, J.F., Ziebel, J., Hoffmann, L., Pfister, L., Walling, D.E., 2010. The use of sediment colour measured by diffuse

- reflectance spectrometry to determine sediment sources: Application to the Attert River catchment (Luxembourg). *Journal of Hydrology*, 382, p 49-63.
- Matisoff, G., Bonniwell, E.C., Whiting, P.J., 2002. Soil erosion and sediment sources in an Ohio watershed using Beryllium-7, Cesium-137, and Lead-210. *Journal of Environmental Quality*(31), 54-61.
- Motha, J.A., Wallbrink, P.J., Hairsine, P.B., Grayson, R.B., 2002. Tracer properties of eroded sediment and source material. *Hydrological Processes*, 16(10), pp 1983-2000.
- Nakamura, F., Kameyama, S., Mizugaki, S., 2004. Rapid shrinkage of Kushiro Mire, the largest mire in Japan, due to increased sedimentation associated with land-use development in the catchment. *CATENA*, 55(2), 213.
- Navratil, O., Evrard, O., Esteves, M., Legout, C., Ayrault, S., Némery, J., Mate-Marin, A., Ahmadi, M., Lefèvre, I., Poirel, A., Bonté, P., 2012. Temporal variability of suspended sediment sources in an alpine catchment combining river/rainfall monitoring and sediment fingerprinting. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*.
- Olley, J., Caitcheon, G., 2000. Major element chemistry of sediments from the Darling-Barwon river and its tributaries: implications for sediment and phosphorus sources. *Hydrological Processes*, 14(7), 1159-1175.
- Olley, J., Burton, J., Smolders, K., Pantus, F., Pietsch, T., 2013. The application of fallout radionuclides to determine the dominant erosion process in water supply catchments 885-895.
- Owens, P.N., Walling, D.E., 2002. The phosphorus content of fluvial sediment in rural and industrialized river basins. *Water Research*, 36, pp. 685-701.
- Owens, P.N., Batalla, R.J., Collins, A.J., Gomez, B., Hicks, D.M., Horowitz, A.J., Kondolf, G.M., Marden, M., Page, M.J., Peacock, D.H., Petticrew, E.L., Salomons, W., Trustrum, N.A., 2005. Fine-grained sediment in river systems: environmental significance and management issues. *River Research and applications*, 21(7), 693-717.
- Oygarden, L., Kvaerner, J., Jenssen, P.D., 1997. Soil erosion via preferential flow to drainage systems in clay soils. *Geoderma*, 76, pp 65-86.
- Penven, M.-J., Muxart, T., 1995. Le drainage agricole : un rôle fondamental dans les transferts d'eau et de matière. L'exemple du plateau briard. *Annales de Géographie*, 104(n° 581 - 582), 88 - 104 p.
- Penven, M.-J., Muxart, T., Cosandey, C., Andreu, A., 2001. Contribution du drainage agricole enterré à l'érosion des sols en région tempérée (BRIE). pp 128 - 144.
- Pulley, S., Foster, I., Antunes, P., 2015. The uncertainties associated with sediment fingerprinting suspended and recently deposited fluvial sediment in the Nene river basin. *Geomorphology*, 228(0), pp. 303-319.
- Rasplus, L., Macaire, J.J., Alcaydé, G., 1982. Carte géologique de Bléré au 1:5000, Editions BRGM.
- Russell, M.A., Walling, D.E., Hodgkinson, R.A., 2001. Suspended sediment sources in two small lowland agricultural catchments in the UK. *Journal of Hydrology*, 252, p 1-24.
- Sakaguchi, A., Yamamoto, M., Sasaki, K., Kashiwaya, K., 2006. Uranium and Thorium Isotope Distribution in an Offshore Bottom Sediment Core of the Selenga Delta, Lake Baikal, Siberia. *Journal of Paleolimnology*, 35(4), 807-818.
- Sharma, A., Tiwari, K., Bhadoria, P.B.S., 2011. Effect of land use land cover change on soil erosion potential in an agricultural watershed. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 173(1-4), 789-801.
- Smith, H.G., Blake, W.H., 2014. Sediment fingerprinting in agricultural catchments: A critical re-examination of source discrimination and data corrections. *Geomorphology*, 204(0), 177.

- Sogon, S., 1999. Erosion des sols cultivés et transport des matières en suspension dans un bassin versant de Brie. Application des traceurs radioactifs naturels et magnétiques. Université Paris1 - Panthéon-Sorbonne. Thèse, 305 p.
- Sogon, S., Penven, M.J., Bonte, P., Muxart, T., 1999. Estimation of sediment yield and soil loss using suspended sediment load and ^{137}Cs measurements on agricultural land, Brie Plateau, France. *Hydrobiologia*, 410(0), 251-261.
- Wallbrink, P.J., Murray, A.S., 1996. Distribution and Variability of ^7Be in Soils Under Different Surface Cover Conditions and its Potential for Describing Soil Redistribution Processes. *Water Resources Research*, 32(2), pp 467-476.
- Wallbrink, P.J., Olley, J.M., Murray, A.S., Olive, L.J., 1996. The contribution of subsoil to sediment yield in the Murrumbidgee River basin, New South Wales, Australia. Erosion and sediment yield: global and regional perspectives. (Proceedings Exeter symposium, 1996). IAHS Publication, 236, pp. 347–355.
- Wallbrink, P.J., 2004. Quantifying the erosion processes and land-uses which dominate fine sediment supply to Moreton Bay, Southeast Queensland, Australia. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 76.
- Walling, D.E., Woodward, J.C., Nicholas, A.P., 1993. A multi-parameter approach to fingerprinting suspended-sediment sources, IAHS publication.
- Walling, D.E., Russell, M.A., Hodgkinson, R.A., Zhang, Y., 2002. Establishing sediment budgets for two small lowland agricultural catchments in the UK. *CATENA*, 47(4), 323-353.
- Walling, D.E., Owens, P.N., Foster, I.D.L., Lees, J.A., 2003. Changes in the fine sediment dynamics of the Ouse and Tweed basins in the UK over the last 100–150 years. *Hydrological Processes*, 17(16), 3245.
- Walling, D.E., 2005. Tracing suspended sediment sources in catchments and river systems. *Science of The Total Environment*, 344, pp 159-184.
- Walling, D.E., Collins, A.L., 2005. *Sediment Budgets*, IAHS Press, Wallingford 123–133.
- Wood, P.J., Armitage, P.D., 1997. Biological Effects of Fine Sediment in the Lotic Environment. *Environmental Management*, 21(2), 203-217.

Figure captions:

Fig. 1. Catchment and sampling location map with, A) the location of the study site in the Loire River basin, and B) the Louroux pond catchment with source sample locations and river monitoring sites (S1: Beaulieu River, S2: Grand Bray River, S3: Masnier River, S4: Picarderie River, S5: Conteraye River and D1–3: drain stations, H: Hillslope sediment samples collected during runoff events).

Fig. 2. ^{137}Cs activities in multiple particle size fractions, (bulk soil and fractions ranging 63–50, 50–20, or $<20\ \mu\text{m}$) and suspended sediment (SS).

Fig. 3. Relationship between $^{210}\text{Pb}_{\text{ex}}$ and ^{137}Cs for surface and subsurface sources along with in-stream sediments.

Fig. 4. Relationship between measured and corrected ^{137}Cs activities for the surface and subsurface samples using the *SSA* (A) and *Th* (B) correcting factors. Error bars represent analytical uncertainties on radionuclide activities (1 sigma).

Fig. 5. Relationship between $^{210}\text{Pb}_{\text{ex}}$ and ^{137}Cs for surface and subsurface sources along with in-stream sediments after the *SSA* (A) and *Th* (B) particle size corrections.

Fig. 6. Probability plots characterizing surface and subsurface sample distributions with the *SSA* (A) and *Th* (B) particle size corrections. The probabilities were generated for each source with 2500 Latin hypercube samples from each source distribution.

Fig. 7. Probability plots for ^{137}Cs activity concentrations including sediment samples (black squares) collected from the monitoring sites. In each case, probabilities were generated with 2500 Latin hypercube samples from the source and sediment distributions as well as the mixture distribution. Error bars represent analytical uncertainties of sediment samples equivalent to one standard error of the mean. The solid line is the subsurface source distribution, the dashed line is the surface source distribution and the dotted line is the distribution of sediment from each monitoring station.

Fig. 8. Probability plots for ^{137}Cs activity concentrations including sediment samples (black circles) collected during the six sampling survey (SSE1: 09 Sept 2013; SSE2: 30 Dec 2013; SSE3: 29 Jan 2014; SSE4: 13 Feb 2014; SSE5: 4 Apr 2014; SSE6: 30 Apr 2014). In each case, probabilities were generated with 2500 Latin hypercube samples from the source and sediment distributions as well as the mixture distribution. Error bars represent analytical uncertainties of sediment samples equivalent to one standard error of the mean. The solid line is the subsurface source distribution, the dashed line is the surface source distribution and the dotted line is the distribution of sediment from each event sampled.

Fig. 9. Probability plots for ^{137}Cs activity concentrations including the core and tile drainage network samples (black circles). In each case, probabilities were generated with 2500 Latin hypercube samples from the source and sediment distributions as well as the mixture distribution. Error bars represent analytical uncertainties of sediment samples equivalent to one standard error of the mean. The solid line is the subsurface source distribution, the dashed line is the surface source distribution and the dotted line is the distribution of sediment from core and tile drainage network.

Table captions:

807 Table 1. Summary of ^{137}Cs activities (Bq kg^{-1}) of the potential sources with the different correction
808 techniques (w/o corr: without correction, *SSA*: surface specific correction, *Th*: Thorium correction).
809

810 Table 2. Summary of mean ^{137}Cs activities and the standard deviation (σ) (Bq kg^{-1}) for the in-stream
811 and drain samples at each monitoring station during flood events.
812

813 Table 3. Details of modeling results for surface and subsurface contributions.
814
815

Table 1

Statistic	Subsoil			Surface		
	<i>w/o corr.</i>	<i>SSA</i>	<i>Th</i>	<i>w/o corr.</i>	<i>SSA</i>	<i>Th</i>
Mean	1.3	1.7	1.8	3.2	10.0	11.2
Standard deviation	1.0	1.9	2.2	1.4	4.2	8.3
Mediane	1.0	1.3	0.9	2.9	9.3	9.3
Minimum	0.0	-0.7	0.0	1.0	3.7	0.8
Maximum	3.1	5.1	6.5	7.9	23.8	35.5

Table 2

	Date	^{137}Cs	σ
Station 1	09/09/2013	8.6	0.7
	30/12/2013	21.3	4.2
	29/01/2014	20.3	1.6
	13/02/2014	16.9	0.8
	04/04/2014	10.3	3.2
Station 2	09/09/2013	6.0	0.6
	30/12/2013	24.6	4.4
	29/01/2014	18.3	2.1
	13/02/2014	17.8	1.0
Station 3	30/12/2013	6.3	2.4
	29/01/2014	25.1	3.1
	13/02/2014	14.3	0.9
	04/04/2014	30.2	13.2
	30/04/2014	0.0	17.3
Station 4	09/09/2013	7.1	1.1
	30/12/2013	11.5	5.1
	29/01/2014	23.1	2.4
	13/02/2014	15.4	0.8
	30/04/2014	31.3	8.6
Station 5	09/09/2013	6.1	1.0
	30/12/2013	25.5	5.3
	29/01/2014	36.7	4.7
	13/02/2014	21.3	1.8
	04/04/2014	18.8	5.4
	30/04/2014	24.4	7.7
D1	30/12/2013	13.4	2.3
	29/01/2014	23.5	4.1
D2	16/01/2013	8.6	0.3
D3	30/12/2013	30.6	7.5
	29/01/2014	26.1	2.3

Table 3

Type	Surface contribution (%) and standard error (%)			
	<i>SSA</i>		<i>Th</i>	
Average sample	99	± 2	94	± 1.5
Sediment Core	99	± 1.5	97	± 6.7
Drain sediment	99	± 2	99	± 2.5
Station 1	99	± 1	96	± 2
Station 2	98	± 1.6	95	± 1.5
Station 3	99	± 1	99	± 1
Station 4	99	± 1.2	99	± 1
Station 5	99	± 1.1	99	± 1.2
SSE1- 09 sep 13	49	± 3.5	40	± 2
SSE2- 30 dec 13	98	± 1	99	± 1.4
SSE3- 29 jan 14	99	± 1	99	± 1
SSE4- 13 feb 14	99	± 2	98	± 1.5
SSE5- 04 apr 14	99	± 1	99	± 2
SSE6- 30 apr 14	98	± 1.5	99	± 3

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

Fig. 7

Fig. 8

Fig. 9

Fig. 1

Fig. 2

Fig. 3

Fig. 4

Fig. 5

Fig. 6

Fig. 7

Fig. 8

Fig. 9