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Abstract

In this study, we report chemical and isotope data for 23 geothermal water samples collected in New Zealand within the Taupo Volcanic Zone (TVZ). We analyzed major and trace elements including Li, B and Sr and their isotopic compositions (δ⁷Li, δ¹¹B, ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr) in high temperature geothermal waters collected from deep boreholes in different geothermal fields (Ohaaki, Wairakei, Mokai, Kawerau and Rotokawa geothermal systems). Lithium concentrations are high (from 4.5 to 19.9 mg/L) and lithium isotopic compositions (δ⁷Li) are very homogeneous, being comprised between -0.5 and +1.4‰. In particular, it is noteworthy that, except the samples from the Kawerau geothermal field having slightly higher δ⁷Li values (+1.4‰), the other geothermal waters have a very constant δ⁷Li signature around a mean value of 0‰ ± 0.6 (2σ, n=21). Boron concentrations are also high and relatively homogeneous for the geothermal samples, falling between 17.5 and 82.1 mg/L. Boron isotopic compositions (δ¹¹B) are all negative, and display a range between -6.7 and -1.9‰. These B isotope compositions are in agreement with those of the Ngawha geothermal field in New Zealand. Li and B isotope signatures are in a good agreement with a fluid signature mainly derived from water/rock interactions involving magmatic rocks with no evidence of seawater input. On the other hand, strontium concentrations are lower and more heterogeneous and fall between 2 and 165 µg/L. ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr ratios range from 0.70549 to 0.70961. These Sr isotope compositions overlap those of the Rotorua geothermal field in New Zealand, also confirming that some geothermal waters (with more radiogenic strontium) have interacted with bedrocks from the metasedimentary basement. Each of these isotope systems on their own reveals important information about particular aspects of either water source or water/rock interaction processes, but, considered together, provide a more integrated understanding of the geothermal systems from the TVZ in New Zealand.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In the present work, we report chemical and isotope data for 23 geothermal water samples from the Taupo Volcanic Zone (TVZ) in New Zealand. Chemical and isotope data were analyzed for these deep geothermal waters in order to provide further constraints on the characterization of the associated deep geothermal reservoirs. The present study aims therefore to characterize the fluids from the geothermal systems for the TVZ and, more specifically, to constrain the nature and origin of these fluids: two essential parameters for the characterization of a geothermal resource.

Major and trace elements are first investigated in order to determine the chemical signature of the TVZ geothermal samples. A multi-isotopic approach is then used to provide additional information for the characterization of these waters. Sr isotopes ($^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr) are investigated in order to better constrain the signature of the reservoir the waters come from, given that Sr isotopes are a good tracer of water origin for groundwaters and geothermal waters (Négrel et al. 1997, Négrel 1999, Millot et al. 2007). In addition, we also determined Li and B isotopic compositions of the TVZ geothermal waters with the objective of evaluating the utility of these isotopic tools to constrain the water/rocks interactions. Indeed, the isotopic composition of boron ($\delta^{11}$B) is determined in an attempt to elucidate the source and process controlling B in geothermal waters (Aggarwal et al. 2003), and the use of Li isotopic systematics ($\delta^{7}$Li) is also explored, following recent papers indicating that Li isotopes seem to be an effective tracer of water/rock interactions in geothermal waters (Millot et al. 2007, Millot and Négrel 2007, Millot et al. 2010a).

One of the objectives of the present study is also to compare the different information that can be obtained using a multi-isotopic approach for the characterization of geothermal waters, more precisely, how the combination of these isotopic tracers could help in deciphering the water-rock interaction processes. However, it is important to keep in mind that each isotopic system can only provide information concerning the chemical behavior of the element, but considered together, this multi-isotope approach can provide a more integrated understanding of the TVZ geothermal system in New Zealand.

2. THE TAUPO VOLCANIC ZONE

Geothermal systems occur in many parts of New Zealand. The conventional geothermal resources of New Zealand are currently utilized to depths between 1 and 3 km, and temperatures up to 330°C. High temperature geothermal fields (T > 250°C) are principally located in the TVZ (Figure 1), with another high temperature field at Ngawha in Northland. Moderate to low and very low temperature systems (T < 250°C) are more widely scattered.
Some are associated with areas of young volcanism: in Northland, Hauraki Plains, and the coastal Bay of Plenty. Many hot springs, particularly in the South Island, are associated with faults and tectonic features. The TVZ is 20-80 km wide and extends from Mt Ruapehu in the south to the Okataina Volcanic Center in the north and continues 200 km offshore. The zone is flanked by thick aprons of welded pyroclastic flows that form shallow dipping plateaus.

Situated in the middle of the North Island of New Zealand, the TVZ is an area of both volcanic and geothermal activity. Rhyolitic volcanic activity in the area is thought to have commenced 1.6 Ma ago. The enhanced activity in the region is a result of an actively extending back-arc rift, due to the subduction of the Pacific plate beneath the North Island of New Zealand. This area is characterized by an extremely high heat flow. The average heat flux from the central zone of the TVZ, which contains most of the geothermal fields, is about 700 mW/m² (Bibby et al. 1995).

Within the TVZ, the different geothermal fields are distributed in two bands approximately 20 km apart. It was estimated by Bibby et al (1995), that 75% of the heat flow occurs in the eastern band and 25% in the west. Samples were collected from five geothermal fields within the TVZ: Kawerau, Rotokawa and Ohaaki from the eastern side, and Mokai and Wairakei from the western side (Figure 1).

Temperatures of over 300°C are found within the fluids of the geothermal systems within the TVZ, generally within the eastern fields e.g. Kawerau (315°C) and Rotokawa (330°C) (Kissling and Weir 2005). Maximum temperatures at Wairakei reach 265°C (Kissling and Weir 2005), with Mokai (326°C).

Giggenbach (1995) used the variations of H₂O, CO₂ and Cl in discharges from six geothermal systems within the TVZ to identify the existence of two distinct types of deep water supply. Falling along the eastern side of the TVZ: Kawerau, Ohaaki and Rotokawa display higher gas contents than the fields on the western side, including Mokai and Wairakei (illustrated by CO₂/Cl ratios above 3.9 ±1.5 vs. 0.14 ±0.1). According to Giggenbach (1995), the higher ratios were described as being of andesitic rock origin, with the lower ratios originating from rhyolitic material. The excess of volatiles present in fluid discharges from geothermal and volcanic systems along convergent plate boundaries are likely to be derived preferentially from the marine sedimentary fraction of subducted material (Giggenbach 1995).

The Mokai geothermal system lies 25 km northwest of Lake Taupo, and is thought to be 10 km², based on resistivity measurements (Kissling and Weir 2005).

The Rotokawa geothermal system lies 10 km northeast of Lake Taupo and has an area of 25 km², based on resistivity measurements (Hunt and Bowyer 2007, Heise et al. 2008). A mix of Paleozoic and Mesozoic greywackes form the basement of the system, with a mix of
ignimbrites and rhyolite lavas forming overlying layers, which are in turn covered by Holocene tuff (Krupp et al. 1986, Wilson et al. 2007).

Hydrothermally altered greywacke sandstones dominate the basements at both the Kawerau and Ohaaki geothermal fields, however characterization by Wood et al. (2001) has shown that, despite similar lithologies, there are very different petrological characteristics between the two. As a result, the Ohaaki greywackes are analogous, for their bulk compositions, to granite, and the Kawerau greywackes to quartz diorite. The basement at Ohaaki also differs from those of the other fields due to the occurrence of (approx. 3%) argillite in fine partings (Wood et al. 2001).

In Table 1, the geothermal samples are listed with their origin (geothermal system), the borehole depth (m) and deep temperature (°C) estimated by chemical geothermometry.

3. ANALYTICAL METHODS

3.1. Major and trace elements

The samples were collected, after filtration and acidification on site, by MRP and Contact Energy, from the production pipeline using a fluid sampling separator. These geothermal sites are managed by Contact Energy Limited, the Tuaropaki Power Company, the Rotokawa Joint Venture and Mighty River Power Limited. All chemical analyses were performed in the BRGM laboratories using standard water analysis techniques such as Ion Chromatography (Cl), Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (Li, B and Sr), Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (Ca and Mg), and Flame Emission Spectrometry (Na, K, Ca and SiO₂). Major species and trace elements were determined on conditioned samples, i.e., after filtration at 0.2 µm for the major anions, and after filtration at 0.2 µm and acidification with Suprapur HNO₃ acid (down to pH = 2) for the major cations and trace elements.

Accuracy and precision for major and trace elements was verified by repeated measurements of standard materials during the course of this study: namely Ion96-3 and LGC6020 for cations and anions and pure Li, B and Sr standard solutions (Merck). The accuracy of the major and trace element data is approx. ± 10%.

3.2. Lithium isotopes

Lithium isotopic compositions were measured using a Neptune Multi Collector ICP-MS (Thermo Fischer Scientific). $^7\text{Li}/^6\text{Li}$ ratios were normalized to the L-SVEC standard solution (NIST SRM 8545, Flesch et al. 1973) following the standard-sample bracketing method (see...
Millot et al. 2004 for more details). The analytical protocol involves the acquisition of 15 ratios with 16 s integration time per ratio, and yields in-run precision better than 0.2‰ (2σm). Blank values are low, (i.e. 0.2%), and 5 minutes wash time is enough to reach a stable background value.

The samples were prepared beforehand with chemical separation/purification by ion chromatography in order to produce a pure mono-elemental solution. Chemical separation of Li from the matrix was achieved before the mass analysis using a cationic resin (a single column filled with 3 mL of BioRad AG® 50W-X12 resin, 200-400 mesh) and HCl acid media (0.2N) for 30 ng of Li. Blanks for the total chemical extraction were less than 30 pg of Li, which is negligible, since it represents a 10⁻³ blank/sample ratio.

Successful quantitative measurement of Li isotopic compositions requires 100% Li recovery. The column was, therefore, frequently calibrated and repeated analysis of the L-SVEC standard processed through columns shows 100% Li recovery and no induced isotope fractionation due to the purification process.

The accuracy and reproducibility of the entire method (purification procedure + mass analysis) were tested by repeated measurement of a seawater sample (IRMM BCR-403) after separation of Li from the matrix, for which we obtained a mean value of δ⁷Li = +30.9‰ ± 0.3 (2σ, n=7) over the analysis period. This mean value is in good agreement with our long-term measurement (δ⁷Li = +31.0‰ ± 0.5, 2σ, n=30, Millot et al. 2004) and with other values reported in the literature (see, for example, Millot et al. 2004 for a compilation with δ⁷Li values for seawater ranging from +28.9 to 33.9‰). Consequently, based on long-term measurements of a seawater standard, we estimate the external reproducibility of our method to be around ± 0.5‰ (2σ).

### 3.3. Boron isotopes

Boron isotopic compositions were determined on a Finnigan MAT 261 solid source mass spectrometer in a dynamic mode. B isotope compositions were determined on conditioned samples (after filtration at 0.2 µm). For these samples, water volumes corresponding to a mass of 4 µg of B was processed using a two-step chemical purification through Amberlite IRA-743 selective resin. The boron aliquot sample (2 µg) was then loaded onto a Ta single filament with graphite, mannitol and Cs, and the B isotopes were determined by measuring the Cs₂BO₂⁺ ion. The total boron blank is less than 10 ng. The values are given using the δ-notation (expressed in ‰) relative to the NBS951 boric acid standard. The ¹¹B/¹⁰B of replicate analyses of the NBS951 boric acid standard after oxygen correction was 4.05122 ± 0.00122
(2σ, n=27) during this period. The reproducibility of the δ^{11}B determination is ± 0.3‰ (2σ) and
the internal uncertainty is better than 0.3‰ (2σm).

The accuracy and reproducibility of the whole procedure were verified by the repeated
measurements of the IAEA-B1 seawater standard (Gonfiantini et al. 2003) for which the
mean δ^{11}B value obtained is +39.22‰ ± 0.32 (2σ, n=33), in agreement with the accepted
value for seawater (δ^{11}B = +39.5‰, see data compilation reported by Aggarwal et al. 2004).

3.4. Strontium isotopes

Chemical purification of Sr (~3 µg) was performed using an ion-exchange column (Sr-Spec)
before mass analysis according to a method adapted from Pin and Bassin (1992), with total
blank <1 ng for the entire chemical procedure. After chemical separation, around 150 ng of
Sr was loaded onto a tungsten filament with tantalum activator and analysed with a Finnigan
MAT 262 multi-collector mass spectrometer. The $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios were normalized to an
$^{86}\text{Sr}/^{88}\text{Sr}$ ratio of 0.1194. An average internal precision of ± 10^{-5} (2σm) was obtained and the
reproducibility of the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratio measurements was tested by repeated analyses of the
NBS987 standard, for which we obtained a mean value of 0.710243 ± 0.000010 (2σ, n=9)
during the period of analysis.

3.5. Chemical geothermometry

The concentrations of most dissolved elements in geothermal waters depend on the
groundwater temperature and the weathered mineralogical assemblage (White 1965, Ellis
controlled by temperature-dependent reactions, they could theoretically be used as
geothermometers to estimate the deep temperature of the water. In the present study, deep
temperature estimates were calculated based on SiO$_2$ concentrations following silica
geothermometer based on quartz, chalcedony, α or β cristobalite and amorphous silica
solubility (Fournier and Rowe 1966, Helgeson et al. 1978, Arnorsson et al. 1983). These
temperature estimates agree well with downhole measurements and with previous data
reported in the literature (Hedenquist 1990, Christenson et al. 2002).

4. RESULTS AND COMMENTS

4.1. Major and trace elements
Geothermal waters are commonly characterized by examining the behavior of the major elements (Table 1). In this context, Figure 2 illustrates the relationship between Cl, considered as a conservative element, and Na, which is largely controlled by water/rock interactions. Whereas Cl concentrations range between 666 and 2834 mg/L, Na concentrations range between 388 and 1477 mg/L. From a general point of view, we observe a good relationship between Na and Cl (Figure 2), indicating that the geothermal waters display a large range of salinity, with Na and Cl concentrations increasing from Rotokawa, Kawerau, Ohaaki, and Wairakei up to the samples from Mokai. Other major elements range from 72 to 338 mg/L for K, from 0.005 to 0.028 mg/L for Mg, and from 0.1 to 27.7 mg/L for Ca. Anions concentrations range between 2.6 and 74.8 mg/L for SO₄ and between 1.1 and 5.9 mg/L for Br. Silica concentrations are high, ranging from 384 to 1169 mg/L. Concerning trace elements, and those of interest for the present work: Li concentrations are high, ranging from 4.5 to 19.9 mg/L. B concentrations are also high, ranging between 17.5 and 82.1 mg/L (BR49, Ohaaki). If we exclude the sample with the highest concentration, B contents are homogeneous, falling between 17.5 and 42.3 mg/L. Finally, Sr concentrations are clearly lower, ranging from 0.002 to 0.165 mg/L. The lithium, boron and strontium concentrations are in agreement with the data reported in the literature for worldwide geothermal waters (Mossadik 1997, Williams et al. 2001, Aggarwal et al. 2003, Millot et al. 2007, Millot and Négrel 2007, Millot et al. 2009, Millot et al. 2010a). Because Na is mainly controlled by water/rock interactions, it is interesting to also investigate the relationships between Na and Li, B and Sr (Figure 3). Firstly, it can be observed (Figure 3a), that all the geothermal waters define a general positive relationship between Na and Li, which suggests that, like Na, Li is mainly controlled by water/rock interactions. Secondly and by contrast, when B concentrations are plotted against Na (Figure 3b), different trends emerge. Indeed, we can observe different positive correlations between B and Na, meaning that B is also controlled by water/rock interactions, but there is not a single general trend at the scale of the whole TVZ as observed for Li. From that graph (Figure 3b) geothermal samples can be divided into different groups of samples: thus, the geothermal waters from Kawerau and Rotokawa seem to define a single trend, those from Ohaaki are different, and finally, those from Mokai and Wairakei seem to also plot on a single trend. These trends correlate with the spatial distribution of geothermal fields within the TVZ. The geothermal systems from Mokai and Wairakei are located in the western side of the TVZ, and those form Kawerau, Rotokawa and Ohaaki are located in the eastern side of the TVZ. Finally, when Sr concentrations are plotted as a function of Na (Figure 3c), it seems that there is no global link between these two elements, except that the geothermal waters from Kawerau and Rotokawa show a positive relationship.
Additional information can also be obtained when the concentrations of trace elements are plotted as a function of the deep temperature of the water calculated by chemical geothermometry (Figure 4). Both Li and B concentrations appear to be relatively constant and independent of the temperature of the fluid. On the other hand, Sr concentrations appear to be anti-correlated with the temperature of the fluid (Figure 4b). Such a feature strongly suggests that dilution is occurring in the system by mixing of shallower and colder waters. However, it is also likely that the lower Sr contents can also be controlled by calcite precipitation. Finally, in Figure 4d, SiO₂ concentrations and deep temperature show a strong correlation ($R^2 = 0.84$) but this is an induced correlation, due to the fact that, deep temperature estimates were calculated based on SiO₂ concentrations following silica geothermometers.

4.2. Li-B-Sr isotopes

Li isotopes are reported in Table 1 and lithium isotopic compositions ($\delta^7$Li, ‰) are very homogeneous. For all the samples, the range of variation for $\delta^7$Li values is small (1.9‰ in total) ranging between -0.52 and +1.42‰, respectively, for sample BR9 (Ohaaki) and KA37 (Kawerau). Omitting the two samples from Kawerau, which have slightly higher $\delta^7$Li values (+1.42 and +1.38‰), the other geothermal waters have a very constant $\delta^7$Li signature around a mean value of 0‰ ± 0.6 (2σ, n=21). This small range of variation (± 0.6‰) is almost the same as our external reproducibility of our method for Li isotopes analysis (± 0.5‰, 2σ, section 3.2.). This result means that lithium has either the same origin in these fluids and/or the process(es) that control Li isotope fractionation is (are) the same for all the geothermal water samples under consideration. Compared to scarce literature data for geothermal waters (Millot and Négrel 2007, Millot et al. 2007, 2009, 2010), geothermal waters from the TVZ display low $\delta^7$Li values.

B isotopes are also reported in Table 1. The range of $\delta^{11}$B values is of 4.8‰ in total, from -6.70‰ (RK14, Rotokawa) to -1.92‰ (WK235, Wairakei). A plot of B isotopes ($\delta^{11}$B, ‰) as a function of B concentrations (Figure 5b) shows that, with the exception of sample BR49 (Ohaaki) having the highest B concentrations, there is no large variation of both B isotopes and concentrations. However, Rotokawa and Ohaaki geothermal waters have the lowest $\delta^{11}$B values, and, by contrast, geothermal waters from Wairakei, Mokai and Kawerau have the highest $\delta^{11}$B values. Geothermal samples from this study can be compared with literature data from the Ngawha geothermal field (Aggarwal et al. 2003) for B isotopes. The Ngawha geothermal field is the only high temperature geothermal field in New Zealand that is located outside the TVZ. It is located on the central axis of the Northland peninsula in a Quaternary—
Holocene basaltic field (Kaikohe volcanic field). Measurements of water samples from the
Ngawha geothermal system fall within a limited range of $\delta^{11}$B values between -3.9 and -3.1‰, overlapping the TVZ data.

Sr isotopes are also reported in Table 1. $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios range from 0.70549 (WK245, Wairakei) to 0.70961 (BR49, Ohaaki). Sr isotopes are plotted as a function of Sr concentrations in Figure 5c and show no general trend or any relationship with the spatial distribution of the samples (eastern vs. western location). However, it is noteworthy that geothermal waters from the Wairakei field display the most constant $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios, between 0.70549 and 0.70574. Sr isotope data reported here are in agreement with those of Graham (1992) for the Rotorua geothermal waters (0.70514-0.70791) and for the Ohaaki geothermal field site (0.70746, Grimes et al. 2000) also located in New Zealand.

5. DISCUSSION

Collectively, the lithium, boron and strontium isotopes can be used to identify the different sources contributing to the Li-B-Sr isotopic signature and to determine the main processes controlling these elements and their isotopes in the geothermal waters of the TVZ.

First, Sr isotopes are investigated in the present work in order to better define the signature of the reservoir the geothermal waters came from, given that Sr isotopes are a good tracer of water origin for groundwaters and geothermal waters (Goldstein and Jacobsen 1987, Négrel et al. 1997, Négrel 1999, Négrel et al. 2000). Second, lithium and boron isotopic compositions ($\delta^7$Li and $\delta^{11}$B) are also considered in order to provide further constraints on the origin of Li and B in these geothermal waters.

As already mentioned, Sr isotopes display a large range in the geothermal waters of the TVZ (from 0.70549 to 0.70961). In addition, we also observed in the previous section that if dilution processes happen in the system (by shallow, colder waters), it could probably explain the distribution of Sr concentrations. On the other hand, the higher $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios in geothermal waters are close to the value of modern seawater ($^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr = 0.70917, Dia et al. 1992). Collectively, these observations could reflect dilution of geothermal waters by seawater (cold and shallow water input with high Sr isotopic ratio). A seawater mixing calculation is displayed in Figure 6, in which $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr ratios are plotted vs. Cl/Sr ratios. The geothermal end member is chosen to be sample RK5 (Rotokawa), which has both the lowest $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr and the highest Cl/Sr ratios, and is, thus, representative of geothermal waters being least affected by potential seawater contribution. No significant contribution of seawater for strontium is observed in the geothermal systems of the TVZ, it means that Sr and its isotopes are mainly controlled by the signature of the bedrocks themselves.
As already mentioned in section 2., the TVZ is an area of rhyolitic volcanic activity and hydrothermal altered sediments (greywackes) form the basement of the system. On the left side of Figure 6, we show the ranges of \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) ratios for the bedrocks of the system: volcanic country rocks (rhyolite, ignimbrite and breccia, 0.7049-0.7057) reported by Graham (1992), basalts for the TVZ (0.7026-0.7052, Gamble et al. 1993) and metasedimentary basement rocks that have \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) values between 0.705 for greywackes up to 0.725 for argilites (Graham 1992). Therefore it is likely that the most radiogenic Sr isotope ratios are the result of a significant contribution of waters having interacted with bedrocks having more radiogenic strontium like metasedimentary basement rocks.

Finally, when \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) ratios of the TVZ fluids are compared to literature data for other geothermal fluids (Figure 7a), the geothermal waters from the TVZ are similar to those of Graham (1992) for the Rotorua geothermal waters in New Zealand (0.70514-0.70791), but are significantly different from those of Iceland, which have \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) ratios ranging between 0.7032 and 0.7042 (Millot et al. 2009). However, all of the geothermal waters display a similar range for Cl/Sr ratios, except for those of the Rotokawa geothermal field, which have higher Cl/Sr ratios. It is very likely that the significant difference in the Sr isotopes signature between the geothermal systems from New Zealand and those from Iceland is related to the signature of the volcanic basement rocks. New Zealand volcanic activity commenced 1.6 Ma ago, allowing in-growth of radiogenic Sr from Rb, whereas the geothermal systems in Iceland are located in the central volcanic area, which is only < 0.8 Ma old.

Boron isotopes (\(\delta^{11}\text{B}\)) range from -6.70‰ to -1.92‰ in the geothermal waters from the TVZ, these values are in a good agreement with a volcanic origin of the waters (< 0‰: Barth 1993, 2000). In addition, according to Aggarwal et al. (2003) for the Ngawha geothermal field, the relatively low \(\delta^{11}\text{B}\) values for the fluids implies no significant marine input into the geothermal reservoir and this is also in accord with other geochemical data, e.g. average Cl/B = 53 compared to seawater Cl/B = 4839. In Figure 7b, it is important to note that the worldwide geothermal waters have a \(\delta^{11}\text{B}\) signature almost entirely comprised between -10 and 0‰, with the exception of the samples from Reykjanes and Svartsengi geothermal fields in Iceland, for which the contribution of seawater is significant (Millot et al. 2009). And, like the Na concentration (Figure 3), the Cl/B is also variable according to the location of the geothermal field.

Lithium isotopic compositions (\(\delta^{7}\text{Li}\), ‰) are very homogeneous for the geothermal waters of the TVZ. In Figure 7c, we can compare Li isotope data for TVZ geothermal waters with geothermal waters from Iceland (Millot et al. 2009) and with geothermal systems from the Guadeloupe and Martinique islands (volcanic islands belonging to the Lesser Antilles arc, French West Indies, Millot et al. 2010a). The geothermal waters from the TVZ are distinct
from those of other geothermal systems. Indeed, the geothermal waters from the TVZ display
the lowest \(\delta^7\)Li yet reported for geothermal waters, but they also have the lowest Cl/Li ratios.
In addition, whereas the geothermal fields from Iceland and French West Indies can have a
significant contribution of Li from seawater (Millot et al. 2009, 2010b), it is obvious that
seawater has no influence on the composition of the geothermal waters from New-Zealand.

Several studies of Li-isotope behaviour in near-surface environments have shown that \(\delta^7\)Li
values do not directly reflect the signature of the bedrock, but instead are controlled by
fractionation during water/rock interactions during the formation of secondary minerals of
al. 2010, Lemarchand et al. 2010, Millot et al. 2010b). And it has been suggested that
the \(\delta^7\)Li signature in the liquid might be controlled by the preferential retention of light lithium
isotope (\(^6\)Li) into secondary mineral phases during the weathering processes. It has also
been shown that the fractionation of lithium isotopes during water/rock interactions also
depends on temperature because different secondary minerals might control the uptake or
release of lithium in secondary minerals depending on the temperature of interaction and the
associated dissolution/precipitation reaction (Chan and Edmond 1988, Chan et al. 1992;
1993; 1994; Chan et al. 2002). All geothermal fluids from the TVZ show homogeneous and
low \(\delta^7\)Li values, indicating that temperature is probably not the main factor in controlling the
Li isotopic composition of the geothermal fluids. Rather, it is more likely that the consistent
low \(\delta^7\)Li values in these geothermal fluids could reflect Li leaching from the same source
rock. When plotted on isotope vs. isotope diagrams (Figure 8), the following conclusions can
be reached: i) Li isotope signatures are very homogeneous and do not allow the
discrimination of any geothermal field, suggesting that the fluids are well-mixed for Li and
that it is the process of water/rock interaction at high temperature that is the main factor that
determine both Li and its isotopes distribution in geothermal waters of the TVZ; ii) B isotopes
are less homogeneous, and some differences could exist between the geothermal fields;
although B and its isotopes are also mainly controlled by water/rock interaction processes,
there is a small difference for \(\delta^{11}\)B values between geothermal waters from Rotokawa and
Ohaaki on the one hand and those from Mokai, Wairakei and Kawerau on the other hand; iii)
the Sr isotopes signatures vary widely and confirm distinction between waters from different
locations. From a general point of view, the geographical distribution of the samples within
the TVZ (eastern vs. western location) shows that it could affect B and Sr but not Li isotopes,
meaning that it is likely that the fluids are well-mixed for Li and less for B and Sr. In addition,
for Sr isotopes, the isotopic signal also depends on the type of basement rocks.
6. CONCLUSIONS

The main conclusions of the Li-B-Sr isotopes characterization of the geothermal waters from the Taupo Volcanic Zone are:

- Lithium concentrations are high (ranging from 4.5 to 19.9 mg/L) and lithium isotopic compositions ($\delta^7\text{Li}$) are homogeneous ranging between -0.5 and +1.4‰. Li isotope tracing shows that the input of seawater is negligible in these geothermal waters and that Li and its isotopes are mainly controlled by equilibrium exchange with magmatic rocks at high temperature.

- Boron concentrations are also high (17.5 and 82.1 mg/L) and relatively homogeneous and boron isotopic compositions ($\delta^{11}\text{B}$) are all negative, ranging from -6.7 to -1.9‰. This B isotope signature is in a good agreement with a fluid signature derived mainly from water/rock interactions involving magmatic rocks and no seawater input.

- Strontium concentrations (0.02 to 0.165 mg/L) are lower and more heterogeneous while $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios range between 0.70549 and 0.70961. These Sr isotope compositions are similar to those of local magmatic bedrocks and the highest Sr isotope ratios are the result of a significant contribution of waters having interacted with bedrocks having more radiogenic strontium like, metasedimentary basement rocks.

Each of these isotope systems on their own reveals important information about particular aspects of either water source or water/rock interaction processes, but, considered together, provide a more integrated understanding of the geothermal systems from the TVZ in New Zealand. However, the combination of Li, B and Sr isotopic systems highlights the complexity of the study of these geothermal waters, and the use of only one isotopic tool could lead to an incomplete characterization of the geothermal waters.
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Table 1

Sample list including geothermal site, sample i.d., latitude and longitude coordinates, depth of borehole (m), deep temperature estimates given by geothermometry (°C) and date of sampling. Major and trace elements concentrations (mg/L) in the geothermal water samples are also reported in this table and Li, B and Sr isotopic compositions. d.l. is the detection limit (5 µg/L for Mg). Individual errors (2σm) are also reported for isotopic data.
Figure captions

Figure 1
Geothermal samples location. These geothermal waters were sampled by Contact Energy Limited for Ohaaki and Wairakei geothermal systems and by Mighty River Power Limited (MRP) for Mokai, Kawerau and Rotokawa geothermal systems respectively.

Figure 2
Cl concentrations (mg/L) plotted as a function of Na concentrations (mg/L).

Figure 3
Li, B and Sr concentrations (mg/L) plotted as a function of Na concentrations (mg/L). The dashed lines represent the 2σ uncertainty on the linear correlations.

Figure 4
Li, Sr, B and SiO\textsubscript{2} concentrations (mg/L) plotted as a function of the deep temperature (°C) estimated by geothermometry. Correlations have been added as well as a 2σ interval of confidence.

Figure 5
a: Li isotopes plotted as a function of Li concentrations (mg/L). The errors bars correspond to the external reproducibility of our method for Li isotopes analysis ± 0.5‰, 2σ.
b: B isotopes plotted as a function of B concentrations (mg/L). The errors bars correspond to the external reproducibility of our method for B isotopes analysis ± 0.3‰, 2σ.
c: Sr isotopes plotted as a function of Sr concentrations (mg/L). The errors bars are included within the sample symbol and correspond to the external reproducibility of our method for Sr isotopes analysis ± 0.000020, 2σ.

Figure 6
Sr isotopes plotted as a function of Cl/Sr massic ratio. Fields for volcanic country rocks, TVZ basalts and metasedimentary basement rocks have also been added, see text for comments.

Figure 7
a: Sr isotopes plotted as a function of Cl/Sr massic ratio. Comparison with geothermal waters from Rotorua geothermal system (Graham 1992) and geothermal systems from Iceland (Millot et al. 2009).
b: B isotopes plotted as a function of Cl/B massic ratio. Comparison with geothermal waters from Ngawha geothermal system (Graham 1992), Yellowstone (Palmer and Sturchio 1990) and geothermal systems from Iceland (Millot et al. 2009).

c: Li isotopes plotted as a function of Cl/Li massic ratio. Comparison with geothermal waters from Iceland (Millot et al. 2009) and from the Antilles (French West Indies, Millot et al. 2010a).

Figure 8
Multi-isotopic (Li-B-Sr) characterization of geothermal waters from the Taupo Volcanic Zone.
Figure 2

[Graph showing a scatter plot with markers for Ohaaki, Wairakei, Mokai, Kawerau, and Rotokawa. The plot includes a line indicating seawater dilution.]
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Figure 4

(a) Li (mg/L) vs. Deep Temperature (°C)

(b) Sr (mg/L) vs. Deep Temperature (°C)

(c) B (mg/L) vs. Deep Temperature (°C)

(d) SiO₂ (mg/L) vs. Deep Temperature (°C)
Figure 5

(a) δLi (%o) vs Li (mg/L)

(b) δ11B (%o) vs B (mg/L)

(c) $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ vs Sr (mg/L)
Figure 8

[Graphs showing isotopic data for $\delta^{13}B$, $\delta^{18}Li$, and $^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr$ ratios.]

- Red circles: Ohaaki
- Blue diamonds: Wairakei
- Orange squares: Mokai
- Yellow triangles: Kawerau
- Green diamonds: Rotokawa
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geothermal system</th>
<th>Well ID</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>borehole depth</th>
<th>date</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Cl</th>
<th>SO₄</th>
<th>Br</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Li</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Sr</th>
<th>δ²⁷Li</th>
<th>δ¹¹B</th>
<th>δ²⁸Sr/⁶⁰Sr</th>
<th>2⁰m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ohakai</td>
<td>WK245</td>
<td>S 38°37'04'' E 176°02'59''</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>07/09/2008</td>
<td>1264</td>
<td>217.4</td>
<td>d.l.</td>
<td>10.563</td>
<td>2236</td>
<td>34.1</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>709</td>
<td>13.28</td>
<td>24.81</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>-2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohakai</td>
<td>WK70</td>
<td>S 38°37'22'' E 176°04'22''</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>08/10/2008</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>146.1</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>20.434</td>
<td>1882</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>9.78</td>
<td>23.93</td>
<td>88.3</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>-3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohakai</td>
<td>WK247</td>
<td>S 38°36'55'' E 176°03'20''</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>05/03/2007</td>
<td>1302</td>
<td>213.2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>27.667</td>
<td>2295</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>12.12</td>
<td>25.97</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohakai</td>
<td>WK235</td>
<td>S 38°36'53'' E 176°03'22''</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>04/03/2008</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>175.6</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>20.911</td>
<td>2229</td>
<td>37.3</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>12.58</td>
<td>24.06</td>
<td>129.2</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-1.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohakai</td>
<td>WK28</td>
<td>S 38°36'53'' E 176°04'09''</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>14/08/2008</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>193.3</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>21.261</td>
<td>1846</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>22.44</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohakai</td>
<td>WK24</td>
<td>S 38°37'21'' E 176°04'18''</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>30/07/2008</td>
<td>1007</td>
<td>128.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>20.247</td>
<td>1731</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>9.03</td>
<td>20.75</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>-2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mokai</td>
<td>MK3</td>
<td>S 38°31'34'' E 175°56'23''</td>
<td>1679</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>24/02/2009</td>
<td>1296</td>
<td>288.6</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>7.965</td>
<td>2398</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>799</td>
<td>16.98</td>
<td>24.79</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mokai</td>
<td>MK7</td>
<td>S 38°31'36'' E 175°55'34''</td>
<td>2252</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>25/02/2009</td>
<td>1477</td>
<td>338.2</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>12.886</td>
<td>2834</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>777</td>
<td>19.94</td>
<td>31.10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawerau</td>
<td>KA37</td>
<td>S 38°03'52'' E 176°44'34''</td>
<td>1306</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>29/01/2009</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>&lt; d.l.</td>
<td>1.891</td>
<td>797</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>822</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>37.34</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>-2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawerau</td>
<td>KA19</td>
<td>S 38°38'32'' E 176°42'07''</td>
<td>1108</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>28/01/2009</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>2.468</td>
<td>932</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>4.97</td>
<td>42.28</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>-1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotokawa</td>
<td>RK14</td>
<td>S 38°36'35'' E 176°11'25''</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>08/04/2009</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>126.7</td>
<td>&lt; d.l.</td>
<td>1.622</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1006</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>21.80</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-6.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotokawa</td>
<td>RK5</td>
<td>S 38°36'31'' E 176°11'40''</td>
<td>2783</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>07/04/2009</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>112.1</td>
<td>&lt; d.l.</td>
<td>1.232</td>
<td>674</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1027</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>17.90</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>-5.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>